

Conclusion Part B Contents in brief

Security, surveillance and spin. Pages 2 - 21

Ireland, Iran, Iraq & Israel, China, Columbia Bolivia & Serbia Pages 22 – 43

Armageddon? Pages 46 - 60

Bibliography page 61



And of course a year later the mint did go into overtime for WW1.

Once a fully rounded view of “money” is taken it can be used to see how the permanent arms (war) economy and debt dictate the “news” and the media, to explain the condition that the world is in. And it is not in a good condition. If you have noticed a repetition of a theme in all of these chapters, it is only because we are bombarded with advertising, media and dogma that we can only consume and there is no alternative financial avenue.

The 1st world receives the overwhelming barrage of what amounts to brainwashing, and also hides the condition of dire poverty the rest of the world is in.

As you can see from conclusion A and Chapters 1 and 2, in Britain (compare it with your country) only £44 Billion circulates in the economy (as cash and notes = “MO”), yet £485 Billion is collected in taxes, each year, and therefore many times this is spent, up to 2000 Billion approx .Around the world many trillions are spent every day.

Above £44 billion (MO) the rest is produced as credit, on paper with only the authority of the government or the bank of last resort supplying value to it. As you can see in chapter 2 and others, leather, tree bark, and coins featuring Jean Luc Picard have been minted and exchanged as money, postal orders are a further example. Money has no value in itself only work and activity has that value.

If a member of your family spends and gets into debt using your money, and admits they cannot pay it back to you, you will either hold them to account or cancel the debt. Cancelling the debt is your right, as you do not want to put your loved ones in bondage. Society has this ability and can issue credit in exchange for work, assets or commodities, and since the work has been done, the assets or commodities are visible the money with no value in itself can be removed and destroyed as debt. This is not perceived,

and amounts “owed” are built up, but those who own, or believe they own the money (exchange itself) which has no value, and charge interest upon it, are making sure you will always require more loans from them. Remove this nonsense and free the world from a major source of its chains.

Politics and politicians today buzz around the right to manage this “money supply”, known as gravitating to the centre, left and right have become meaningless in the election scramble to convince you they are all different, whilst being the same. Consequently politicians private lives are analysed incessantly, or they are invited on chat shows (not political chat shows) to discuss items such as their wallpaper or fashion preference with celebrities who may or may not have had a botox....and many hours of dross later, *ZZZZZZZZ*, the mind numbing pap is regurgitated in order to convince you something to do with democracy is going on.

Where and what is our money being spent on, and why ?

The world is being monitored as never before, literally millions of security cameras, DNA records of innocent people, databases, satellite surveillance, swipe card journeys, identity cards, it goes on and on, and privacy as distinct from secrecy is disappearing. Yet in this world of corrupt government and security services acting outside of democracy all owned by private Corporations, controlling the state and the banking system, you realise that the surveillance cameras are in the main, all pointing the wrong way. In this world of real estate development, urban areas are purposely run down to enable a cheaper price, any inhabitants remaining are of course glad of security cameras, but this type of crime is petty compared to the real crime governments commit on behalf and with large corporations.

We should be pointing the cameras at them, in the government buildings, in the streets, in the banks in the boardrooms, in the corridors of power, and they should answer to us, and be visible in every way.

Recent NSA (national security agency USA) disclosures shows illegal wiretap direct from “oil addicted” President Bush (world news 31.1.2006) to ensure the oil which controls the worlds commerce is kept safe for the few, was his own personal order. You live within this system, but who monitors them,... the people, ?... “democracy” certainly does not.

The frenzy of surveillance is not just to prevent terrorism (as 9/11 shows, or in London in 7/7, or by the ongoing terrorism by the West in Iraq, but to control Capital, money wealth, privilege and power, a totalitarianism by stealth, bit by bit, made seemingly “quiet” by unconnected legislation by the unelected. A police state is not the “police”, but erosion of civil liberty’s, rights and freedoms to pursue happiness, prosperity and life, not to be owned from your inside (DNA) to your very thoughts and actions.

So if you see a camera here or there, on the beautiful expensive corporate buildings the homeless shelter in, get a pair of ladders, turn the camera around, connect a cable and wire it up to your television, see if you can spot any white collar crime going on.(its everywhere)

For instance and concerning the “terrorism” legislation proposals in 2005, rather than the vote in the House of Commons being a defeat for the terrorism bill, it of course succeeded, people can now be locked up for 28 days without charge, instead of 14 days, itself a disturbing position for a “democracy”.

Not achieving 90 days meant the government was defeated, but in reality it has reduced “democracy” and civil liberties (on 9/11/2005) and is supported by comments defending the civil liberties of those innocent victims who died (as in 9/11 or 7/7).Notwithstanding the complicity within the international forces of

“good” and “evildoers”, without civil liberty or freedom or economic independence (= slavery), is life worth living at all. For Billions around the world the answer is no.

This is contrasted against the fact that the “7/7” bombers had been watched by security services for over a year before the bombs. ? **Daily Mirror 3/11/2005**

(See below, as generally reported in the media Nov 2005, scroll down) see also

http://team8plus.org/forum_viewtopic.php?9.1617

Yet we learn that prisoners in Guantanamo bay supplied the intelligence for the surveillance?, which if nothing else justified further interrogation for (some) of the CIA trained bombers across the width of the war on terror ? (see chapter 8)

<http://www.cageprisoners.com/articles.php?id=12079>

Perceptions and the media change slowly over time as it was reported that Princess Diana’s driver Henry Paul was not drunk on the day of her death, his blood sample had in fact been switched twice with another sample to throw off the investigation.

The real blood samples were not his but a suicide victims, he was not drunk, and it is obvious princess Diana was murdered. Previously it was denied that the samples had been mixed up at all.?

Daily Telegraph / Daily Mail 15/10/2005

Mrs Bush announced on the 22.1.2006, that everyone including the president is anti-war, stating that "many people are very, very sincerely anti-war" while adding: "Everyone is anti-war. The president is anti-war. No one wants war. But no one wanted what happened on September 11 either," she said.(scroll down to bottom of web link)

<http://www.smh.com.au/news/world/eight-years-in-white-house-is-enough-laura-bush-says/2006/01/21/1137734186416.html>

Of course the mysteries of "9/11" discussed in chapter 8, are still unsolved, in any independent investigation, and Iraq was nothing to do with 9/11. Further on the eve of elections in Iraq,(14/12/2005) President elect Bush announced that the "intelligence" leading up to the Iraq war leading to the murder of its civilians who are also "insurgents" was wrong.

Not that it was a pre-planned since 1996, a fraud (see chapter 8) perpetrated by Neocons who view public office as a springboard to launch a private war (fought mainly by private mercenaries), but that it was wrong.

Yet if the intelligence was wrong, what is the translation of

"The President of the United States in October 2005, says he invaded Iraq because God told him too"

The Independent (Daily Newspaper) 7.10.2005

Not only did God not know where the WMD in Iraq was located, but God also revealed to George that in fact he (God) had got his intelligence wrong. And if Mr and Mrs Bush are anti-war, did God really tell George to invade Iraq.

As ratings slip, the voters are asked to blame God not George or the New Labour, Conservative party alliance.

Further this revelation on the fallibility of God, surely calls into question the Calvinist doctrine of pre-ordained gratuitous predestination (towards the reprobate and others), which of course may mean that the

doctrine of “limited atonement” is also in error.(i.e. some are created and then simultaneously predestined to hell).Methodists may agree, although many Wesleyans might not.

Perhaps its best to leave it to the courts to decide on the illegality and criminality of the war, but since all agency’s and people (worldwide as well as in the USA) were authorized to be bugged by Mr Bush, who knows in advance what decision in this day of declining civil liberties the courts will come to, is this a reliable democratic outlet for justice.

In December 2005 a court in America ruled that teaching “intelligent design” as a theory alongside the theory of evolution cannot take place in American classrooms. Aside from the fact the court has gone beyond Einstein, Hawkins, Super string theory, M theory,(which concludes there must be a “4th” dimension beyond the ability of science to explain...as yet, which means that the out of time 4th dimension must have been there before the big bang), the court also went beyond the mysterious “dark matter” riddle (i.e. only 4% of the Universe is made up of Atoms the rest is made up of ...as yet unknown “stuff”, ...or paradoxically if it (the dark matter stuff) cannot be found Newton’s laws of physics are in error...a heresy, the gravity of which is worse than eating falling apples)

in short the court in surpassing every known Philosopher and Theologian who ask “why is their something rather than nothing”, it seems the Whitehouse has not interfered with the decision by the courts.

Yet at general elections it is of course up to the courts to decide which votes in the last two general elections to count,... does God exist, do votes in Florida and Omaha exist, it’s a tough one.

The CIA has also developed torture cells around the globe. Proving that society is regressing in the west, and has not improved since the dark ages (even after the enlightenment, as many Muslim surely note), and that democracy is no better than any other system, if not worse, a pertinent fact in the Iraq war.

Kidnap of suspects “rendition”, or “extraordinary rendition” the torture is still called torture however. Further England via Jack Straw says after careful research the government has not given permission for any of these requests for “extraordinary rendition” ...kidnap terror, Translated from legalities, the government is saying, that they have turned a blind eye to it, and indeed it goes on, and is commonplace, this “no evidence of rendition or torture cells” in December 2005, is contradicted only a few weeks later in mid January 2006.(see link below)

<http://www.nytimes.com/2006/01/19/international/europe/19cnd-rendition.html>

As they also continue in Iraq http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/4715540.stm

It is elsewhere justified by citing that this action (which is also simultaneously denied, a typical fascist ploy) may save thousands maybe millions of lives.

It may have saved the lives of the “7/7” London bombings except that those who perpetrated the bombings were watched for over a year by security services. This is not told to loudly to the victims families, because these “evildoers” are used by some at the top of the security services themselves since the late 1970s (see chapter 8).

However taking this justification, would it not have been easier to kidnap and torture George Bush, and Tony Blair and all those who fostered this enormous lie upon peoples minds and hearts, to extract

the truth behind intelligence on the illegal war in Iraq and countless other covert wars for money oil and hegemony, to save the lives of 112, 000 Iraqis, 2000 American soldiers, 100 British soldiers, hundreds of the “co-alit ion” of the willing soldiers, the “9/11” victims and of course the “7/7” victims.

The “Iraq has WMD dossier” and/or it is attempting to buy Nukes from Niger claim (prior to Gulf war 2) is under focus as “Scooter” Libby is charged for outing a CIA operative Valerie Plame, who is married to a retired diplomat Mr. Joseph Wilson. Mr. Wilson had famously denounced the dossier and WMD claims as nonsense, and now in order to prove Mr. Libby is wrong to take revenge on CIA agent Valerie Plame, it is necessary to reopen the Dossier matter. Libby, Bush and Cheney, of course were aware that Iraq was innocent and defenceless, and relied on the Dossier, a British intelligence M16 report that threw suspicion onto Iraq. Also supported by the Foreign office despite the resignations of its own staff, over the matter, the innocent blood of everyone who has died in this barbarity is on those who conspired to bring the war into its current reality.

CNN News 3/11/2005.

The Home Office has already blamed the foreign policy of Imperialism for the attacks on London and elsewhere, so would these leaders consent to their own torture ?... not a chance in hell.

The Home Office on the day after the supposed defeat on the terrorism bill, which extended detention (rendition ?) from 14 days to 28....but not 90, released a report that blamed England’s foreign policy for extremism and terrorism domestically. (see links below)

http://communities.homeoffice.gov.uk/raceandfaith/reports_pubs/publications/race_faith/PET-working-groups-aug-Oct05

Or <http://www.guardian.co.uk/terrorism/story/0,12780,1639511,00.html>

The foreign policies of England are to blame for the terrorism around the world it concludes, and the CIA is also in agreement with this view, as Paul Pillar CIA national intelligence officer disclosed (February 2006) that the Bush administration “cheery picked” legislation in order to justify the invasion of Iraq. Although the CIA says it was ordered to spin intelligence by M16.

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/americas/4702054.stm>

It is still recited that now,(December 14th 2005, the eve of Iraqi elections) that it was good to get rid of Saddam Hussein, but it is not recited that America and England placed Saddam Hussein in power, paid him and had him as an ally.

Further the very crimes Saddam Hussein is accused of, including gassing the Kurds (with western supplied gas), has been committed by the USA and England against the civilian population of Fallujah in 2004. Not classed as a chemical weapon, White Phosphorus is of course a chemical and it burns the flesh of its victims. (see link below)

http://www.nctimes.com/articles/2005/11/21/special_reports/perspective/18_50_0711_19_05.txt

Of course the bombs which rained down on Iraq and the bullets the co-alition fired for the past two years are also illegal weapons in an illegal war. It is of course also not a democracy, especially as it is also revealed that the Iraqi democratic government and its trained forces has detained and tortured 170 “detainees”, like Saddam used to do. Described as the tip of the iceberg it follows on from the co-alition torture of Iraqis before and since it was invaded.

Several torturers who were active during the Baathist regime of Saddam Hussein have been allowed by the Norwegian government to reside freely in Norway, according to the Norwegian

state broadcasting company NRK. Although Norway is a member of President Bush's "coalition of the willing" in Iraq, Norwegian immigration authorities cite international agreements on refugees to justify granting at least temporary asylum to the self-admitted torturers: even torturers must be permitted refuge if they face torture or the death penalty if returned to their country of origin !

Saddam was an ally...Surely...not Saddam the "evildoer". Of course it is for the good, that he has gone, but in finding him guilty for his crimes the Judge and Jury condemn themselves, especially as the new "Iraqi" security forces are torturing and disenfranchising the Iraqis themselves (like Saddam used to do...will this mean Gulf War 3, in2018 approximately)

Saddam Hussein a brief history of time

Is information retained within the Universe, or is that information lost forever, when it comes to Saddam Hussein, his primary information seems to start around 1990, when various Saddam's in Saddam disguises appears to shock the west, by walking around in a kaki shirt and black beret, or corduroy jacket with matching shotgun, waving (silently, dubbed by newscaster speak, mirroring his current trail) and twitching his moustache.

He's a bad guy doing bad things, we have got to get in there and do something. However just beyond the event horizon, there is actually further information which it seems is retained in the parallel universe, but is unavailable to the present.

Saddam received much of his weaponry from the matrix Churchill Tool Company (disguised as farm machinery) in England (see the Scott inquiry); the money Saddam used came from American banks, and when he defaulted on the loans (his real crime) this pre-empted the 1990's gulf war.

Given permission to invade Kuwait by the USA, Saddam did just that, Kuwait had been slant drilling Iraq's oil Bush Senior began gulf war 1 in order to save Kuwait.?

The BCCI bank scandal had the bank lending money to Harken oil, and George Bush junior, and also funnelled money to Saddam Hussein, Jeb Bush made a fortune from trading with the bank, which operated in Pakistan in the early 1970s, funded by Bank of America.

The vast amount of personal business deals between Bush senior, Manuel Noriega and Saddam were held in the Bank of England. In essence the gulf war 1, was the biggest money laundering operation the world had seen, Drugs money, arms deals and a phoney war. Investigating the BCCI scandal was made impossible, a factor which continues up until 2005 (see next)

Truell & Gurwin

Yet despite this and as cynical as any Fhurer before him George Bush comforts the victims of Saddam Hussein.

2006 <http://abcnews.go.com/Politics/wireStory?id=1518912>

2004 <http://www.newsmax.com/archives/ic/2004/5/25/122104.shtml>

Against the wishes of the law society and bar council, the government in 2005 (but preferably at any time) wishes to introduce plans allowing Judges to sit without Juries in complex fraud trails.

Surely that is convenient, undemocratic and open to scandal.

Scotland however is exempt (with its separate system based on Roman law), the plans only effecting Britain, Wales and Ulster. In November 2005 the Attorney General has accepted offers of a compromise (only) from the Conservatives and Liberal Democrats.

Of course Saddam is not immune, but America and England have not signed up to the international criminal court, in order to avoid being prosecuted for the crimes it knows it commits.

This followed on from the collapse of fraudulent BCCI case against the Bank of England in the Autumn of 2005. On behalf of the liquidators, Deloitte instead of pursuing a case of negligence, against the supervision by the B of E of the Bank of Corruption and Crime International, they pursued a case of misfeasance in the hope the B of E would settle to avoid a public scandal (as opposed to a £850 million decision against them)

“Unfortunately for creditors of BCCI, this will come straight out of their pockets. Far from ending up £850 million richer from this legal marathon they are £100 million pounds poorer. Nobody is taking any pleasure in their misfortune, least of all the bank of England, which can reasonably be accused at least of negligence in its supervision of what even before it went under, was known as the Bank of Corruption and Crime International”

The Independent 3/11/2005 Jeremy Warner

The case took 12 years and cost £100 million.

The question which may have been more pertinent is why the Bank of England would be immune from prosecution for negligence or fraud at all in a democracy. A problem which caused the

creditors to have to take such steps in the first place. Having to find a way around the “banks legal immunities” (Ibid) by accusing the Bank and 22 of its officials of deliberate dishonesty, as a strategy in “democracy” only shows how undemocratic the democracy is, highlighting the difference between it and an accountable economic democracy.

It of course should be open to legal investigation, trial by Jury and prosecution as if it were an individual but...

“By the end of the nineteenth century, through a bizarre legal alchemy” “the corporation had been reconceived as a free and independent being”

Bakun

With limited liability, the people employed within it were in effect only the helpless participants in the corporation’s quest for profit, which was the only motive of Capitalism. Hence its employees were not steering or responsible, but passengers. The practice of the bank of England before this new legal thinking providing the philosophy for the new legal protection, which gave it rights but took away its moral consequences, to be termed “externalities”.

“Whatever one thinks of government, they’re to some extent publicly accountable, to a limited extent. Corporations are to a zero extent....one of the reasons why propaganda tries to get you to hate government is because it’s the one existing institution in which people can participate to some extent and constrain tyrannical unaccountable power” -

(see **Bakun & “The Corporation** <http://www.thecorporation.com/>) - even though it is a private limited company, as it is underwritten by public money (public national debt money).

However if you read chapter 1 (especially pages 3 & 4) the “limited extent” to the government has becomes clearer and indicates a much greater extent is needed, without (as chapter 10 tries to highlight) the state becoming all powerful, ensuring at least that some Anarchists are satisfied with a participatory democracy.

The initial sum the bank put up of £1,200.000 in 1694 and loaned to the Government at 8% by 1994 has become £15 Billion 400 million (300 years), all government borrowing added into this becomes the national debt, and as the statement above clarifies for every £1 of national debt, we pay £1 of tax (hence being national it is ours).It trades not only with its profits on the stock markets, with an ability to dictate the shape of that market, it also uses public money (produced as debt) for its own personal gain. Removing Juries from any intended prosecution only allows further corruption against society

A reason in law why corporations are difficult to prosecute is in the very charter of the Bank of England as in any Corporation (as mentioned) i.e. limited liability and also the law that Corporations are free in law to “neither recognize nor act upon moral reasons to refrain from harming others” in other words a Psychopath.

Bakun

Whilst it may be said that in reality America is a corporation around which the two party system (which Zinn denounces) confuses the bewildered herd, the corporation free to pursue profit instead resembles “*American Physco*”, only this legal entity is powerful, controlling the commerce of the

world, if the authors “*Less than zero*” is a microcosm (another form of violence, self inflicted, but well supplied) of that commerce, the worlds drug trade also,.

Ellis, Bret Easton

Repeated from chapter 2

The influential **Mandeville in 1714**, in his “Fable of the bees or public vices turned public benefits” introduced the philosophy that activity whether, it be building, crime, war, and death and birth are all acceptable regardless of moral or conscience.

“Mandeville’s fable was about a large, prosperous hive, well stocked with bees. Vice abounded, in that all the bees were driven by lust and vanity. Wealth was unequally distributed, but all the bees, even the poorest, were better off than they would otherwise have been. The reason was high consumption created employment. Every bee was kept busy attempting to satisfy another’s demands. Even crime and fraud provided opportunities for honest employment – burglars provided employment for locksmiths”

Backhouse

However this fable only works if the hive is free from prosecution, as it is clear crime and fraud tends to sting, and those stung (mainly the general public) will tend to want to rub a dock leaf on it and then swat by bringing an action against the bank (if they could).

Without Jury trials the public have even less “democracy” and Justice. Once instituted it will be cited as justification to other areas of law.

The Constitution of the United States gives to Congress the legal power to coin money, without attached interest if it wishes, and (see chapter 2 the “MO”) so to does Britain.

The BCCI case is much worse than it looks. Following John Kerry's defeat to George W Bush in November 2004, like the money belonging to creditors of BCCI, his votes are still missing in the key states he campaigned in. His role in exposing the BCCI scandal must have played on Bush's mind when they went "head to head". Essentially the BCCI fraud is a repeat reading list of the Iraq illegal war. The neocons had essentially invested Billions in getting the Afghanistan oil route underway. (Even those Americans who accept that Iraq has nothing to do with "9/11" ...see chapter 8, still believe that Afghanistan was the place to invade).involved in BCCI are Harken energy and Dick Cheney, George W Bush and his accomplice Saddam Hussein, the CIA, Saudi Intelligence, Pakistan and the Bank of England, all forming the Bank from its inception.

The Bank of England's role was as always in America's grand strategy to funnel all funds back to the Bank of England. The CIA online factbook describes England and London as a country of money laundering concern, but the City is the biggest launderer in the world.

<http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/fields/2086.html>

It is still also not perceived that Iraq is thousands of miles away, Persians inhabit it (not white western people) and that the whole creation of Iraq and Iran, Jordan and Israel are creations of western planning, in their borders and colony status from the 1800s up to today.

Even if Iraq had WMD, the west has WMD ?. Somehow we are left to believe that a hundred years ago (when oil was in its infancy), that somebody in power thought Good God there are terrorists in the middle east, Babylon and the Levant, and that somehow this is what happened now.

On the day of Iraqi elections (14.12.2005) the US Congress pushed through bans on torture against “detainees” or “suspects” worldwide.

Simultaneously it passed resolutions banning Hamas, who were “democratically” elected, yet the “evildoers” “insurgents” Sunni, Shia, Kurd liberated civil war type people were not allowed to be excluded from democracy in any way at all ?

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/americas/4533342.stm>

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/4534224.stm

By now the Queen’s famous quote

“There are forces at work in the country, we know nothing about”, BBCI News 2/1/2003

is becoming clearer, although the general public is conditioned on a daily basis to carry on consuming and pretend we do not live in a regime which inflicts terror, and pretend we live in a democracy.

On congress passing laws banning torture

.

“Mr. Bush did not have much choice, says the BBC's Adam Brookes - even though it is a blow to presidential authority. It is also being seen as bitter personal defeat for Vice-President Dick Cheney, who had telephoned every Republican senator to try to get the measure derailed”.

Yet it was even denied that it existed one month earlier. If anyone is under any illusion that Iraqis vote because they trust democracy and not to rid themselves of the occupation, see the headlines before torture was banned. (in the link below)

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/americas/4415132.stm>

Further (and see chapter 8) a “terrorist mastermind” recited incessantly in the media **Abu Musab al-Zarqawi**, guru to Osama bin Laden was captured and mistakenly released in November 2004 !!, ..Bush, war on terror, “9/11” oil, profit = scam

http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/middle_east/4534564.stm

Further in the other half of biblical Israel, (Jordan) he appears again as “al Qaeda” causing explosions, and if this escalates once again western forces will have to get in there and maybe Israel will become its true size in these end time days.

Further still, **Zarqawi** was a reputed target of a CIA remote controlled drone bomb, which only killed Woman and children in January 2006, only this attack will not be branded as an outrage, a terrorist attack or a crime, unlike the victims of “9/11” who were western, these transitory media victims are tuna fish not dolphins

<http://news.independent.co.uk/world/americas/article338636.ece>

Yet again in Afghanistan in a “heavily fortified enclosure” a senior member of Al Qaeda.... Escapes.

BBC News 3/11/2005

Again 13 Al Qaeda members escape from Yemen prison (fleeing south) on **3/4/2/2006**, at this rate across any authority will any member of al q be left.

At the same time the Danish embassies are burned after what could only be called a deliberate provocation by publishing disparaging cartoons of Muhammad. Previously published the timing is unusual to say the least

<http://www.alertnet.org/thenews/newsdesk/L0461174.htm>

The USA has pulled out of Afghanistan. With its glaring poverty, ensuring democracy and certainly not economic democracy is never achieved 5000 British troops will ensure that Hamad Khazi the former Unocal employee will maintain Unocal's oil supply line. Of course the democratic elections of last year have led to warlords ruling the country, which is a mirror of America's and New Labours/The conservative parties "democracy" in the west.

BBC News 3/11/2005

It is not all bad news as serious attempts at tackling the banning of nuclear weapons is suggested, seeing the world as it is, (crammed with nukes, polluted and in dire poverty) the Nobel peace prize winner in 2005, says Nuclear weapons should be prevented from production and totally banned worldwide

<http://nobelprize.org/peace/laureates/2005/index.html>

This is set against news of the new Superspy agency and the NSA's undemocratic overruling of "democracy" to spy on the entire world, or the need to preserve Oil, commerce and finance...power away from "people"

(See below,) authorized by president elect bush in 2001, the only spying it didn't do was on his connections to the "9/11" perpetrators and Saddam Hussein.

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/americas/4538286.stm>

Further it was first mooted, the NSA did not stop "9/11"

“According to author James Bamford, who has studied the NSA for years, each one of their dozen largest listening posts around the world picks up more than two million communications an hour - cell phones, diplomatic traffic, emails, faxes. That works out at 500 million hours every day”

And what of the hijackers ?

“In fact, one of the most bizarre ironies of all this is that five of the hijackers lived in a motel right outside the gates of the NSA.” ! Can anyone believe any of this, I will bet they stole the motel towels. (see further chapter 8)

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/programmes/from_our_own_correspondent/2033791.stm

Mr Bamford points out that the “9/11” attacks in America have actually increased security for Israel allowing its lobby to seek higher degrees of security around its interests. Of course Israeli’s “ordinary” folks do not feel safe and Palestinians ordinary folks do not feel safe either.

Bamford (2004) *A Pretext for War, 9/11, Iraq, and the Abuse of America's Intelligence Agency*

Further on 11.01.2006, the NSA has a “whistleblower” (Russell Tice), who informs us that in fact the NSA illegally eavesdropped on hundreds of thousands of people at the request of Bush, not just a limited number (and it follows that the complicity in “9/11” in the Bush camp is the real reason, i.e. to contain and monitor the suspicions and the “bewildered herds” shock at the events... see chapter 8).

The NSA has responded by saying that Tice in testifying to congress or elsewhere is an illegal act as the information is classified...?, or in other words a democracy cannot hear itself, and democracy is not classified to listen to his testimony,... hence a dictatorship.(see further in links below)

<http://www.democracynow.org/article.pl?sid=06/01/03/1435201>

see further from Nov 2001

<http://www.gregpalast.com/detail.cfm?artid=103>

In Ireland

In Ireland in December 2005, those who have always maintained it is a mistake to give up the armed struggle were boosted when the true system of the peace process was revealed, which is precisely why it was.

Denis Donaldson was originally accused of being a spy for Sinn Fein, giving the reason for collapsing the Stormont assembly in 2002, three years later he is “outed” as actually being a spy for MI5, and the RUC/PSNI and English intelligence...!, it is history repeating itself, almost inevitable, and is the final proof in the insincerity of the peace process (Donaldson was probably not given any choice) http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/northern_ireland/4536896.stm

The reality for Republicans is that even after surrendering “weapons”, and making declarations the Stormont assembly is not reconvened, and this is exactly what the followers of the armed struggle have been stating would occur. They feel now that any gesture to restore the assembly is only a diversion, as any reason to suspend it will reoccur, as with the Donaldson and the case of spying that was not spying (etc).

Ulster has not advanced beyond the point it was at in 1972, or even 1986, the disbelieving Republicans including also the Continuity IRA, who have stated they will never give up weapons, have now seen the long drawn out road of peace and power sharing leads nowhere. The prospect of now sitting in a devolved government with people it regards as imperialists who are only interested in spreading fear and terror is an obstacle, this process now tried and failed, with which it can point to and surmise that (and despite) the good Friday agreement (the public vote, who it is also now claimed, were not given the full details of what they were voting for, see later), a negotiated peace process is only a waste of everyone's time despite what seems genuine efforts on each side. Devolution to Stormont, no longer a goal to aspire too for them.

<http://www.irlnet.com/forum/lofiversion/index.php/f8.html>

The roots of why this should come about, which has completely mystified many, a paradoxical moment can be read in the links below (next para)

Further the Finucane family have rejected the recent inquiry set up to investigate murders over the last 30 years, as the inquirers work for the very state they are accusing, in effect totally ignoring Judge Cory's statement that the state cannot investigate itself, translating as needing an independent inquiry.

When a United Ireland last existed in 1798 under Grattan's parliament it was led by Protestants and resisted by some Roman Catholics. This and the recent revelations (i.e. Denis Donaldson) are just too much for some people, including the Taosaich Bertie Ahern in Dublin who said the latest revelation was a "twist beyond even his imagination". but see an "anarchical" perspective.

<http://struggle.ws/wsm/positions/partition.html>

If you wish to understand this, view the book <http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.lsaweb.net/> Chapters 5, also chapters 6 and 7 for an explanation and also chapter 10c page 477 - 490), all of this including Denis Donaldson will be known to Blair, Bertie Ahern and indeed Reverend Paisley.

Yet the IRA declaration to give up its arms, was praised as historic in September 2005, since then a non independent monitoring commission says it is moving in the right direction but is sceptical it has given up all of its arms, Mr Paisley said it was a blatant lie that the IRA had given up its weapons after this report in February 2006.

Although he had originally said he would sit in Stormont with Sinn Fein, and then later stated, that the IRA should not give up their weapons in a paradoxical moment in 2004/2005. These twists which are beyond the imagination may lead many to believe Reverend Paisley might be holding out for a 32 county Republic.?, in what would be surely the ultimate paradox. The suspension of belief has broken down, across any denomination as no one can believe what they see or hear.

Placing these illogical twists of the imagination aside, what other reason can there be for them but to extend and keep the war going.

Further Mr Paisley while commenting on the “Jew” and Catholics and Protestants living together in peace (ITV evening news 6.12.2004) in 2004 peace talks, does not seem to want to give up his prejudices, serving the very organisation who not only led a holocaust against the “Jew” but the Ulsterman Protestant and Catholic, the “Irish/Scot and the Scots/Irish” the British and the Anglo and the French and the Polish and the whole of Europe. (see conclusion “C” page 19-28). Yet all these paradoxical matters see all politicians caught up in spin, whilst the ordinary member of society suffers the consequences. http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/northern_ireland/4681322.stm

The Orange Order is only a revolutionary body unto itself, it serves no one or any country, and it serves only money.

In chapter 5, 6 and 7 of the book <http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.lsaweb.net/> and also in its conclusion, it is clear that since Emmet or Davitt, Irelands freedom has not resulted in sovereignty to Ireland itself. <http://www.irishdemocrat.co.uk/anonn-is-anall/michael-davitt/>
<http://www.irishdemocrat.co.uk/anonn-is-anall/reclaiming-emmet/>

This is obvious in Ulster but even in the Republic up until 1996, its “free state” home rule status was transferred from the Bank of England to the European central bank, the Orange Order, still administering the change from “home”. The current administration in Dublin is only an English administration, in part, with Europe in which and around the Celtic tiger, (debt bubble) Irelands economy is in debt beyond again, even Bertie Ahern’s imagination.

Whilst Ireland has benefited from a boom, its cost has been to see its sovereignty further eroded, because the Orange Order whilst being revolutionary served even the interests of Hitler and the Nazi party (see conclusion part C).A point worth remembering on Remembrance Sunday.

Whilst initially it was entangled in the vehicle of revolution in Ireland, now it provides only the vehicle for the English security services in Ireland to try to control its institutions both in Belfast and in London in Dublin and further South also. Like many other countries in the world its agents are living both in the Republic and Ulster

Therefore it follows you could change the tide and explain to them that Ireland is her own, and the ultimately reality is no “security” service can know what others allegiances are, even right within

M15, M16, and other. Further many in the security services, genuinely join their own country's secret service to fight "international crime" only to discover their own departments are actually running it, on behalf of their own government, whilst various institutions are blamed for this, it is not the institution which is to blame but the choices people and individuals make within them.

As mentioned Taoiseach Bertie Ahern said the latest revelation was a twist beyond even his imagination. (Denis Donaldson) Having suffered cries of "leave the constitution alone" and after the removal of the clause's which claim Ulster as part of the Republic, were removed, the Dublin government have given permission for an Orange Order march in Dublin whilst allowing plaques of Queen Victoria ("the famine Queen", who of course was quite plump herself) in West of Ireland train stations.

A truth none of them like to hear is that in killing or hurting one another, they have only done the same to themselves as they are the same blood. This is the real terrorism which keeps the rich in power over the poor regardless of who or what is in charge of Ireland.

The Orange march in Dublin is a march to Love Ulster, (see link below) and this is at least something both sides agree on

<http://www.boards.ie/vbulletin/showthread.php?t=2054861835>

<http://www.usip.org/library/pa/ni/ni2.html>

Above (the link above) it is cited that Unionists fear a United Ireland push is imminent, but see below (link below)

<http://larkspirit.com/broadfront/commentary/nodeal.html>

Between both of these views, the truth is Ulster will be kept in a class system, in prejudice no matter what happens there .

In removing the constitutional clauses (see also link above) to Ulster, the Dial (government) said it was a trump card, but the latest double agent scenarios reveal the level of insincerity that has accompanied the entire peace process since 1984, on the possible restoration of the NI assembly Bertie Ahern said

"If this verified the IRA had ended all activities and got rid of all its weapons, he said he and Tony Blair would try to start all-party talks.

"That will hopefully lead to the restoration of the assembly and executive," Mr Ahern said.

He said the earlier this could happen "the better".

"The reality is we have moved Northern Ireland from a place of daily killing. It is now a more stable place.

"That was done on the basis of the Good Friday Agreement... parties sharing power together on a cross-community basis, working to the agenda of the Good Friday Agreement for the betterment of the people of Northern Ireland," the Taoiseach said.

The Northern Ireland Assembly has been suspended since 2002, and Mr Ahern said getting it and the other institutions up and running again was more important to him than a united Ireland.

"Of course I would like to see a united Ireland in my lifetime. I don't know whether I will or not.

"But what is more important is that we see peace and stability and people working together in Northern Ireland," he said."

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/northern_ireland/4566420.stm

Yet the troubles are just as troublesome now and despite the LVF standing down in response to the IRA decommissioning,(except for a... few rifles) the UDA/UVF are importing thousands of rifles

into Ulster in 2005, whilst complaints against the PSNI from Catholic/Nationalists have risen 44% over 2004/2005.

<http://saoirse.info/>

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/northern_ireland/4681322.stm

Placing the clauses back onto the Irish constitution could exchange the smooth running of devolution (without suspension, which could of course continue on and on) for its removal once the devolved parliament is up and running. Of course whether the clauses are in the constitution or removed the inescapable fact of Northern Ireland is it is called just that, not Northern Britain or Northern England (depending on which identity is chosen).

There is no border, and as the final “free state” settlement by Michael Collins was not the one voted on by the people of Ireland in 1921-22 (being as after 1922, four counties of partition changed to six), if those who voted for or against partition had known it was a partition of six counties, and not four the vote for partition won by only 7 votes, would have been lost. Mirroring circumstances today partition caused the civil war, which if Republicans by experience can know, is the very same tactics being employed against them now, and should be recognised and avoided as such. Another anomaly in Republican histories was the lack of teaching on the Celtic tribes of Antrim and Down who were (even with their travels) written out of the South’s knowledge (almost).

The entire partitioned “border” it follows, is constitutionally defunct. The eventual change to Republic in the 1940s being only one in style of government, not in size geographically. Its true purpose was to alienate both communities, keeping them pitted against each other whilst the

landed and the rich kept wealthy, distracting the masses in the class war. If you see chapter 4 (and others) in <http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.lsaweb.net/> and also chapter 11 pages 18-23 in this site, whether you are Protestant or Catholic, the new partitioned area needed enough “opposites” to set against each other to distract from the landed rich and rich real ownership, to keep class discussion out of reach, this is the saddest “Ulaid” tale of all.

This was evident by the constant gerrymandering of Catholic votes and the violent suppression of its population for hundreds of years, most remembered over the last 37 years “of the troubles”, which also displaced Protestant homes elsewhere .

Consequently now the Irish-Scots of whatever “religion” as well as those who may actually be descended from the British tribes, (who mixed with the Norwegian invaders), are being asked to swear alliance to the British state, to qualify to participate in elections in Ulster in 2006. Yet surely the “Irish Scots” are free to vote and swear alliance to whatever national state they choose.

A United Ireland in 1905 -1922 would only have been secured with guarantees of administrative economic dependence for Ulster Protestants, until as such time as conditions were agreed mutually for it to lift, the proposals in Eire Nua allow for special conditions, since then and today the whole war in Ulster was engineered. As repeated in other chapters something similar to what is being proposed today could have occurred in 1922, and every life and injury since then could have been avoided.

Whilst it is the Pan Celtic movement which has invigorated the various tribes over the last 160 years, the Orange Order is predestined only to speak double Dutch for itself alone, and has governed over Protestant and Catholic interests and repressed them both, this being evident when

with the Protestant left in 1921-1922, Unionists also took the opportunity to clear them out. There was a simultaneous purge of the so-called “Rotten Prods”, the Protestant trade union militants and socialists who refused to support the Unionist Party. According to Austen Morgan, historian of the Protestant working class, some 1,800 Protestant workers were expelled from their workplaces, consisting of “almost the entire cadre of working class leaders in the industrial and political wings of the labour movement”

Morgan, Austen (1990) *Labour and Partition, The Belfast Working Class, 1905-1923* Pluto Press

Since the provisional IRA’s statement that the war was over, although other factions have not taken that view, it is clear that they can no longer be classed as terrorists. England feels it has always done business with the Republic which is classed as a democracy, yet it was the IRA who brought that democracy into being, pressing as they did for economic democracy in 1905-1923.

What is evident with or without weapons (in a world where the English government sell weapons to anyone who will buy... see chapter 8 (including the Nazi party in the 1930s), Irish Republicans will never be allowed to share power for a continuous period.

Ireland's economy (as many) in the free state can be encapsulated by memories from the 1970s, or 1980s, when highly amused incredulous farmers told of young Scandinavian types appearing over hedgerows or at the front gate asking them what type of (shit) fertiliser they put on their vegetables ? !!, since the Celtic tiger “revolution” the organic life has disappeared and although at that time of history, Ireland had not developed as other economies (left behind ? !), due to colonialism, migration and famine, not having “luxuries”, it did have a wholesome, healthy debt free life. It was still employing methods from the 1940’s and before, whilst living amidst modern free trade societies which were already disillusioned with modern neo-liberal capitalism or indeed

capitalism per se. A common feature of neo-liberal economies being the loss of topsoil and trees, and rain run off causing “flash floods” (see chapter 5)

The Republic is also in Europe which in rejecting the Constitution has rejected the neo-liberal version of Europe. There is nothing wrong with the Euro or a federalized Europe (or world), what’s wrong is the neo-liberal model its currency operates within. Whether we have hundreds of individual nation states and currencies or “one world” with one currency, the problems remain the same. Economic democracy provides organization from the centre which is dependent on its inertia, its direction and purpose, only from countries or regions on the outside telling it what or how to operate, this is a big difference, it is not rule from the centre out, or top down, but from the outer edge inwards. This if achieved in Europe would be a first in modern history or indeed any era, and also ensures internal wars are avoided, whilst never again providing itself as a stage for gerrymandered wars, others arrive and fight in from outside.

That’s why James Connolly’s rifle is never always just in loft, and although he had been taken by military ambulance to Kilmainham Prison, carried on a stretcher to a courtyard in the prison, tied to a chair and shot, with the other executed rebels, his body was put into a mass grave with no coffin. Connolly recognized that people, the poor, society does not want to be controlled by any system; he did not advocate religious intolerance.

Having the same focal point in both “religions” means that in discovering the other (and vice versa) and discovering more in either means that you have gained and still win, this is why the early Celts went beyond both the letter of the law (but were faithful to it) and simultaneously beyond the “state” religion/philosophy into the “beauty of God” (as some Muslims describe there

thoughts, thoughts the west has lost philosophically or theologically).The early Celts view will be the source of a third website available to read at some point.

As for James Connolly the bullets that killed him are probably still there, next to his body, yet there's no doubt his heart shines on in Green and then Green, White and Gold and as a Protestant in Red.

<http://www.marxists.org/archive/connolly/1904/condel/conart.htm> (without doubt this was an extraordinary man) <http://www.marxists.org/archive/connolly/>

http://www.marxist.com/History/easter_rising401.html

Yet devolution is proving not politically impossible, but only economically (see link below)

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/northern_ireland/4632594.stm

(North, South East and West).

Whilst Ulster embroils itself in “race differences” despite their only being one race, they have lost the class struggle and have ruined its prospects in this diversion, as mentioned yet again a form of shared power, with assurances for Protestants (similar to today's proposals) could have been achieved then so alleviating the “troubles” since 1922, The troubles have distracted everyone (across all the divides from the class war)

Many years ago I met a girl whose father was in the RUC, he then retired and has since passed away. In a conversation about Ireland I asked her what she thought about a United Ireland, not as a serious topic, but she, rather than become dismissive or change it as conversation, her face held

fear at the prospect, which although illuminating yet was very depressing. Similar views of Catholic girls who live in disunited Ulster or oppressed Ulster also have the same look.

I also knew a girl who believed it was not “Gods will” to have conceived her own child on “religious denominational” grounds. More than any of this in the South of Ireland I was fortunate to have met people in their older years, 80 and 90 years old (in the 1980s) whose parents had told them directly of experiencing the famine and poverty of the previous century, when infants were still trying to suckle the breast of their dead mothers, or of forced rapes and/or crimes which defy description in the 1918 – 1922 period by “Britannia’s Huns” these stories do not appear often in the quests and annals of the brave United Irish or Loyalist Men who Love Ulster at least in recent fables, who never learn that strangers from other countries do not love Ulster and never will.

(as above to repeat) <http://www.boards.ie/vbulletin/showthread.php?t=2054861835>

Finally for Unionists the issue on consent for Unionists has been defended even by Republicans, as Republicans have never had that consent themselves, throughout the flawed transition from Invasion after centuries to Home rule, to Dominion status and Free state status (its current position) (see <http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.lsaweb.net/> Chapter 6 page 278) to Republic still yet to be fully achieved.

Therefore the issue of consent for them is also just as valid, just as defensible and just as pertinent, and taking both issues of consent together they may get entangled as in supporting your right to consent, the others can hardly be denied (see further in this book chapter 11 pages 18 – 23 and <http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.lsaweb.net/> chapter 4 pages 173 to 192 or simply ...all of it, plus the conclusion).

This is why the issue of consent is important as both sides deserve it. As for religious differences, faith and works are not the divisions men can use to split even God in two (see <http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.lsaweb.net/> see chapter 10B and 10A and nine) and as for tribes in Ulster, it is certain that all of them are Celtic. And when it comes down to it the people of Ulster can have any type of system they please, not just the limited vision or straightjacket the Politicians and quango's place upon them. They can simply do it themselves.

In Iran and Israel who sold both sides the weapons and capabilities?

Getting ready for war (the softening up process)

Having covertly funded both sides in both World Wars and many other conflicts before and since, including Iraq. Having profited from war leaving nations with massive debts and more beholden than ever to them, the banks then fund reconstruction.

Iran said it wants to wipe Israel of the face of the earth (27/10/2005). This cry was a repeat of the Iranian revolution, (the Holocaust was also denied) and yet before and after it, the USA has assisted the nuclear programme, as has England, not just to Iran but also to Israel ?. Just like the American comedian's piece, selling to and informing both sides..(1) "want to buy a gun"...(2)."they have a gun"....

(1) "they now know you have gun"..... (2) "there going to use the gun, want to buy a gun"....after a few years, "heck they both have guns, we need to strike pre-emptively" ... "full spectrum dominance" "evildoers" "God told me to do it" "buy shares, sell shares, hike up the price of oil, not too much, not too little, pretend to pray to Jesus".

Iran is a democracy, it voted so now is it like Iraq “a beacon in the middle east” ! ?, well it must be, as it is one of those liberal democracies, which of course produces nationalism. Iran like the west feels it may need to protect itself with Nuclear weapons, so may buy some form the west if not allowed to enrich uranium which the west was sending in any case.?

The west is upgrading its nuclear weapons not reducing them.

Yet in the state of the Union address (Feb 2006) the commander in chief not unsubtly was hinting Iran’s elections may not have been fair, (like the ones he stood in). Yet as in Iraq, Iran is a democracy, so what is the point of democracy, is it to offer Iran a loan, “democracy” providing a good risk assessment barometer for repayments, which if it has nukes (like the west) it may default upon, as it is virtually unassailable like North Korea, if it gets Nukes. What has happened to democracy in Afghanistan now that England is sending troops again?

Tony Blair, (as is Michael Howard/ Cameron) (shocked, but ready to liven up the European ministerial meeting he was presiding over) said it was unacceptable. Israel sensing an opportunity condemned the outburst by Iran and says it should be thrown out of the UN (which John Bolton the US ambassador to the UN says does not exist), but dos not mention its own nuclear weapons pointing where ?.

Remember Bush said Christ was his favourite philosopher, because he changed his heart, and like Christ, Bush has butchered 100, 000 people including children, and like Christ has denied his Grandfather directly assisted the Nazis, and just like Christ, he has hiked up shares in his company and sold them, avoiding Jail by having a good lawyer. Yet this is neo-con Christ, who has to fit the philosophy of neo-con, just as military intelligence has to be made to fit the war invasion plans.

This is not old history this is now and people die in thousands, including Europeans and Americans. Theologically these serious paradoxes are not heard by the Christian faithful, or if they are then the “Christianity” is simply a cover by far right Nazism.(...sorry fascism)

Immediately conservatives in England pounce on the statement by Iran’s president to justify the pre-emptive strike policy (having already broadcast it wants to wipe “the axis of evil” countries of the face of the earth first.), and maybe somehow they get Iran’s large oil reserves.

Yet the conservative knows that Iran’s nuclear programme already exists, and has done since the west allowed them, supplied them and watched them develop it since the early 1950s.

Iran was no friendlier then to Israel than it is today. Conservatives maintain there is a difference between having nuclear power and nuclear weapons (whilst simultaneously pretending they do not know that Iran’s nuclear programme has existed for over 50 years, or that the MKO, Saddam’s former “evildoer terrorists” are used to attack Iran)

With Israel’s, Iran and Iraq (and Jordan’s) borders artificially drawn up by the English, and nuclear capabilities sold to them by the USA and England, why is the present investigation into Iran’s nuclear programme continuing, why does the media broadcast this continual pap.

Further if these Nuclear weapons in these 3 countries are not aimed at the USA, England (and Europe) where will they be employed?

A chain reaction would surely leave them detonating insitu, obliterating the Middle East. Further a nuclear bomb cannot just be launched and detonated on contact; they require scientific planning and alignment, a nuclear reaction. Just as the Sun does not burn out,(it needed light to begin its reaction, imagine a candle covered by a glass, it will extinguish) as in space there is no oxygen to

fuel its flames, its nuclear reaction needs not just an explosion but light to trigger it, the reaction, which of course continues its chain through the air. Who are nuclear weapons aimed at.?

Moral outrage and indignation follows in the world's press, yet they and Tony and George Bush are aware that in the 1950s the Shah of Iran was installed by the CIA, and a Nuclear programme (allowed and supported by the West) was instigated. Further by installing the Shah the democratically elected government of Mossadeq's was overthrown. (in the quest not to have a democracy, although this could not be called an economic democracy in comparison to the twenty years previous).

Iran was first partitioned by the England and the Russians, its borders drawn up artificially. Iran had been part of England's informal empire since before the First World War. Although not occupied and ruled by the English in the way that India was, nevertheless Iranian governments accepted that they had to govern their country the way that England wanted.

Failure to toe the line would provoke economic sanctions or intervention, either open or covert. The discovery of oil at the beginning of the 20th century made the country all the more important to the Empire. By the end of the Second World War, the Anglo-Iranian Oil Company (AIOC), today's BP, was England's largest overseas asset.

In effect the AIOC ran the Iranian oil industry in the interests of English capitalism, with Iran's interests not so much coming second as not running at all.

In 1950, for example, the AIOC made £200 million in profit, but paid the Iranians only £16 million in royalties, profit sharing and taxes. It paid considerably more in taxes to the British government

(£50 million) than it did to the Iranian government. Moreover this imperialist rip-off was the work of a company which was 51 percent owned by the English state.

This is what Iraq can expect if it's lucky.

At the end of April 1951 popular pressure forced the Shah, the English backed ruler of Iran, to appoint a nationalist government with Mohammed Mossadeq as prime minister. On 1 May 1951 he announced the nationalisation of the oil industry.

The Labour government responded with outrage. As Emanuel Shinwell, the minister of defence, warned, "If Persia was allowed to get away with it, Egypt and other Middle Eastern countries would be encouraged to try it on—the next thing might be an attempt to nationalise the Suez Canal."

<http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/routledg/cbh/2003/00000017/00000001/art00003>

http://www.socialistworker.co.uk/article.php?article_id=7624

During the 1980s Iran was at war with Iraq, and America after installing Saddam Hussein (effectively backing both sides, arming them both) backed Iraq, along with the Saudis against Iran. Further it is hardly a surprise in diplomatic circles that Islamic Iran is hostile to Israel (and vice versa), although the youth of both seem to be waking up to the impossible positions they are in, whilst those above (mainly in the west) prey on them, toying with the very truth they live within. This follows Tony Blair's announcement in November 2005, to pursue a nuclear programme in Britain, after the old sites are removed, this only a few weeks after the leak at the lake district site. Aside from the health and terrorism risks the alternatives (energy sources) are of course available and help to prevent terrorism in the war on terror.

The U.N are not asked to investigate, if the proposed Nuclear programme will lead to more Nuclear weapons, apply sanctions, no one is bombed.

The real concern is that Iran may well disturb the balance of power in the Middle East, which it is the overwhelming powerbroker. This gives it the ability to seek unilateral peace agreements unopposed, a new nuclear power (since Israel can respond with its 200 nuclear warheads +) would severely restrict that balance of power, but where they did they purchase their weapons capabilities from ?

In China who like the Iranians also had a revolution

Remember the shut down on air travel after “9/11”, as “evildoers” non western capitalists “democracies” were going to attack America, remember the incident when the US fighter plane flew over China in 2000/2001, and remember the continuing Taiwan debate.

China only months ago was demonised in the media for human rights abuses and for being Communist, is now praised, and of course, now maybe, they do not intend to reclaim Taiwan, because they are the good guys.(in the media).

America is however expressing concern over the Russian- Chinese alliance, as like Iran they have nuclear weapons. Iran’s nuclear weapons are a grave concern of neo-con **Douglas Murray** (author of **Neo-conservatism; why we need it**), he is in fear (but knowing America and England sold Iran the nuclear capabilities ?) of Iran’s Nukes

BBC2 12/11/2005

His Commander in chief only 6 days later is in China selling to Beijing 150 Boeing 747 planes, to be followed by a further 2,700 over the next twenty years. England too is charming China, and yet

the cries of Human rights abuses and WMDs pointing at the west has not encouraged Bush to invade and attack the “evildoers” in China.(as yet)

<http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=10000080&sid=aI7IGrd5fZmE&refer=asia>

Why do we need neo-conservatism?, well on the one level it is to create a “strategy of tension” and sets the scenario of the Russian –Chinese alliance, this creates a fear so that the neo-con can protect us, the other reason we need neo-conservatism is to produce huge profits for the corporations it represents, especially now as the neo-cons approve huge nuclear reactors to China. (who were “evildoers”...once).

On another level in order to spread democracy and freedom the neocon needs Communist money to pay for it ?

Contrast the approach of supporting China and denouncing Iran who have a nuclear programme since the 1950s with western help and support?And there is no suggestion that America will invade Europe after it lifted its arms embargo to China, England being the top exporter to middle kingdom.

http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/english/doc/2004-10/19/content_383774.htm

http://en.ce.cn/National/Politics/200510/21/t20051021_4989124.shtml

This coincides with Australian prime Minster John Howard’s decision that Australia should continue to supply Uranium to China as a boost in the war against terror ?, whilst England lifts trade on arms restrictions to China.

<http://www.abc.net.au/pm/content/2005/s1433833.htm>

Perhaps in ten years we will have “Neo conservatism, Why we need it. volume 2” which is crusading against rouge states and nukes, perhaps a rouge Chinese agent will attach the nukes to a Boeing and fly it into American buildings, perhaps they will attach 2,700 nukes onto planes, and the neo conservatives will say, the.... Communists, Buddhist, Confusionist’s, budding Capitalists Asian-Russian types are coming, only to be rebutted with well why did you sell them the planes and armaments and raw materials for the nukes in the first place then ?... why ?...Well because we need neo conservatism that’s why (etc).

In Columbia

In America the US army working alongside right wing paramilitaries are killing the local populations in order to preserve the occidental oil pipeline from the inhabitants of Columbia, and building up bases in South America.

<http://www.matrixmasters.com/world/america/columbia/warcolumbia%20home.html>

<http://www.albionmonitor.com/0511a/paraguayusbase.html>

Obviously it is not the quest for democracy but only oil, in Iraq it’s the quest for oil and reconstruction loans, not democracy.

In Bolivia

Evo Morales having won, a party nomination, and after Bolivia has fought to keep its own resources against its own Government who are virtually a branch of British Gas, and various multinational corporations, the news, reports of the shock that an “indigenous” person, descended from the Inca’s has won overwhelming support there.

However the non-indigenous USA type people, have said, it is heading for a “showdown” with Bolivia because.... of its relaxed policy to the harvesting of the Cocoa plant.!

America’s anti-drug policy, itself a policy to establish a monopoly of the corrupt within the CIA and even DEA and others to sell and distribute the drugs instead. Since these discoveries, and since Nancy and Ronald Reagan learnt to just say no, cocaine has of course fallen like snow all over the United States. Coca Cola with their secret ingredient are also expressing concerns.

America and its policy makers in America who are of course non-indigenous in comparison to the “red” native American Indians, fear cocaine could erupt over South America, it claims it is hardly bothered about Bolivia’s other natural resources, (gas, minerals etc) despite having its troops stationed on the borders of Paraguay and Argentina, ready to pounce.

On behalf of England the Bolivians will be invaded if democracy breaks out to much, in order to preserve the non-indigenous Angles gas supply, although England is not the only “multinational” in Bolivia (note real people in England do not benefit from these profits, or cheaper gas bills)

Overall if the Angles simply returned to Saxony the “English Germans” and the “German Germans”, the tribe that produced both Hitler and his Wagnerian ending, could live in peace or war with each other just in that small area of the world. Leaving the “indigenous” people with valid passports and visa’s to remain. (as in Britain). The ironies of the far right immigration policy not only forgets these histories, but in placing its theologies into its politics also forgets that Noah and sons and daughters.. begot...a lot.

Then the indigenous Inca’s could meet with the real native Americans and smoke a peace pipe or chew a cocoa leaf together in the white wig wam house, survey the “America’s” up and down and

speak of many things, such as how they can live without, imperialism exploitation and covert invasion in prosperity.

In Serbia

The Serbians who had disputes with its surrounding nations are facing war crimes, however their real crime (in world policy) is they would not give into Nato. Eventually it was bombed to dust, and after the US congress authorized £10 million to fund both the Serbian and Montenegro elections, Croatia was used as a oil pipeline route with Germany's consent to the west, the Kosovan's rich mineral mines (Trepca) were also coveted by NATO powers. Russia was not to be allowed to benefit from the Caspian Sea oil at any cost. The real crime's the west has re-written is the destruction of Eastern Europe, who sense they have been used, "out of the frying pan, into the fire".

Serbia in the 90s proposed autonomy for Kosovo, but its proposals were ignored and NATO bombed Yugoslavia in order it says to prevent ethnic cleansing of Kosovo by forcing the Albanians out, but two months later 800,000 Kosovan's had left Belgrade, and it was bombed to unseat Milosevic.

This resulted in an untold amount of civilian deaths, all in reality to help move former Soviet east European countries into free trade capitalism, and new oil pipeline routes, which caused further hardship, moving them into deeper crisis. **(Zinn)**

Having met some of the Kosovan refugees in 1999 at a function put on for them by an Irish society, one of the speakers was a former RAF pilot who had been horrified at what he was asked to do (including the civilian bombings) and came to tell them of his remorse.

(See <http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.lsaweb.net/> Chapter 9 page 357) and yet in this mixed meeting of different nationalities a small girl aged 5, really summed up the case for being anti-war and its horrors.

Wrath

Concerning the recent heart attack of Ariel Sharon, Pat Robinson the American television evangelist is preaching to the congregation that Sharon's heart attack is divine punishment for withdrawing from the Gaza strip.

But first on the extent and type of the withdrawal see

<http://www.palestinecampaign.org/features.asp?d=y&ID=521>

Fresh from the revelations that "we" (note not Pat himself) should take Fidel Castro out, not for lunch but permanently, there are of course, Marxists in Israel, and George Bush cited that Gaza should be given to the Palestinians, and Bush said he was against the construction of the wall/fence in Israel. (see chapter 10)

This is very puzzling as Pat is one of the very highest of presidential advisors, and should have noticed this, and what of the Palestinian Christians? Well as Christians they, in Pat's view must be the Tuna fish (who just taste good) and not the Dolphins. Will Pat suggest divine retribution to Bush for BCCI drugs and money laundering, voter fraud and the killing of innocent people Christian's and Muslims in Iraq.

Across of these overlapping conundrums Pat (unlike Jesus) will not mention that the whole riddle is explained by the war of the rich against the poor, against which theologies and politics criss cross into absurdities.

This is typified when in 2006 Bush “shrugs off” the poor jobless figures in the USA, instead trumpeting the strong performance of the US economy (which is only bolstered by selling US dollars on the cheap to China, as capital rushes to cash in ...over there). This shows the “US economy” of the rich whilst the tuna fish shoals are eaten in millions.

To repeat

“The United States was the richest economy in the world, with 5% of the earth’s population yet consuming 30% of what was produced worldwide. But only a tiny portion of the American population benefited; this richest 1% of the population saw its wealth increase enormously starting in the late 1970s. As a result in the changes in the tax structure, by 1995 that richest 1% had gained over \$1 trillion and now owned over 40% of the nations wealth”

“According to the business magazine Forbes, the 400 richest families owned £92 billion in 1982, but 13 years later this had jumped to \$480 billion. In the nineties, the wealth of the 500 corporations of the Standard and Poor’s index had increased by 335 %. The Dow Jones average of stock prices had gone up 400% between 1980 and 1995, while the average wage of workers had declined in purchasing power by 15%”

“It was therefore possible to say that the US economy was ‘healthy’ but only if you considered the richest part of the population”

Zinn

“Meanwhile 40 million were without health insurance (the number having risen by 33% in the nineties), and infants died of sickness and malnutrition at a rate higher than that of any other industrialized country”

Zinn

And this is America, the model for all other democracies who were worse off.(For Americans with two jobs and high debt levels 2004 see Chapter 9 page 3)

This is all set against the background of the “axis of evil” route to the plains of Armageddon, (see Armageddon in the links below)

<http://www.magiccarpetjournals.com/megiddo.htm>

<http://www.surfingtheapocalypse.com/apocult.html>

which will involve (according to some theologies), Syria, Lebanon, Israel etc.(Israel has just launched an attack against Lebanon 28.12.2005)

Yet ? many fundamentalist Christians are actually purchasing real estate, on the edge of the plain of Armageddon to watch the final battle take place.!

http://www.opednews.com/droubay_052204_armageddon.htm

Maybe as the time draws near the rush will involve.... camper vans, “end o days” theme parks, very hot dog stands, decontamination showers, satellite TV’s to watch the superbowl back home.

Shopping Malls selling sunblock and visor shades, ceramic mushroom clouds and Armageddon ashtray souvenirs, or wish you were here, but within a safe distance of radiation postcards. A national holiday will be declared “Armageddon day” president elect Bush will donate an overcooked turkey (etc). Wow what a flick, what a rush, what a holiday that was.

It seems to be heading that way, to Armageddon, the area “51” known as the 33rd degree, yet if peace breaks out, what the heck is going to happen to real estate prices in Armageddon valley, they are obviously going to drop drastically, the bottom falling out of the market.

The knock on effect could panic markets in Europe and the US, Japan and Britain, real estate jobs and confidence could literally implode, with the resultant depression causing many to feel like it’s the end of the world.

Yet even in this low valley, we could turn to “Neo-conservatism; why we need it” (**Douglas Murray (2005) *Neo-conservatism; why we need it*, Social affairs unit**)

and then realise why we need it, i.e. so that the real estate end of the world bubblecloud scenario, will mean prices will slowly rise again as confidence and tensions ease as the tide turns,... why do we need neo-conservatism ?, because its the end of the world, that’s why.

And what is real estate, it seems to be shrinking. Further to the revelations in Chapter 2, and following various television documentaries in Britain, most notably “**Demolition**” in December 2005, in which the public in Britain got to vote on the house(s) they would most like to demolish, a large percentage chose the recently built “façade” neo Georgian types.

Why, well due to the shrinking size of the “house” and terrible workmanship in many, people could not even get their furniture into the house’s, or their cars in the garage !... low ceilings, doors falling off, window frames falling out etc.

Why ? people are tricked into buying at the show home stage. The show home has undersized furniture to give the impression the rooms are normal sized. People then move in and complain that their normal sized furniture will not fit, having been “sized duped”. On complaining about this it is discovered by the homeowners the real estate company has already set up a retail furniture outlet, with furniture that will fit.! (and for a small price ...etc)

When you add that people are led on to buy a house, persuaded the price is what they can be persuaded to borrow, not what they can afford, in effect required to make up an income, higher than what it actually is.(see chapter 2 pages 37 -39), and then pay back thousands more in interest, it is clear that land prices and credit go hand in hand. Proving also that the law of value is nonsense.

Paying a fabricated price for a commodity, which with interest and credit will amount to up to three times the original price over 25 years, for a poorly built commodity, which people cannot fit into....the law of supply and demand, is only law because it was drafted into textbooks as such, not because it exists without the market being rigged, and repeated to disguise greed.

Profit over laws or rules, people sense the price is not reached naturally. The argument for, or against the market is sometimes scientifically (but subtly) analysed when documentary makers decide to trek through Jungle and swamp to analyse the daily routine of the “primitive people”.

What will happen in the ancient village, will they make a basket in order to satisfy the demand or is it simply a task they can do which is useful, or do actually give a damn one way or the other, as of course it is up to them. Of course the primitives will need to be a part of the village and provide a use, but the village is not in a “free trade” country, its is in the South Pacific. However one thing is for sure in bartering with other tribes, charge too much, or suggest to the worker it is not worth a great deal and you could end up being blowdarted.

Following on from the high price of land and quantity surveyors viewing the human form as a scientific calculation houses are squeezed into ever smaller plots. People are made to fit the product for profit. Edging round the skirting boards and roping up to belay into the kitchen, although cost effective is not what homes are made of. What if by 2035, there are so many people that we simply purchase coffins and graves by mortgage (deathgrip) and live in them until we expire, think of the space spacing, and the environment, of course its doubtful you will be able to get the car in, and the roof might leak.

A possible solution to this may well be genetic engineering !! ?

What if the market drove a corporation to provide an unusual solution, two corps could conglomerate to merge real estate experts with DNA specialists to provide a solution, profit finding a way.

Oh yes because the corporations are now “owning” DNA, trying to make it a commodity.(see below)

<http://academic.udayton.edu/health/05bioethics/00ammons.htm>

<http://www.usatoday.com/news/opinion/columnists/freeman/ncjf51.htm>

However look on the bright profitable side, if DNA could be altered and human beings could be bred in an “area 51” type space, it would only take one generation to make small, very slim “flat pack” people who could fit into the undersized houses without bumping into walls, object d’art and each other, and in time even smaller people made to live in ever smaller house’s.

Not too small at first, they could breed with normal homeowners and gradually the worlds population would have plenty of land and housing, of course prices would remain the same, so increasing profit’s by ratios of factor 3 (ascending).And when house prices do remain the same or rise, will it be the end for supply and demand theories, as surely prices will fall when the “flat pack” inch thin people apply for mortgages, but as usual the money supply increases as demand increases. And with the advances of Nanotechnology even the very fabric of material including living tissue can be changed including foods

Eventually the incredible shrinking men or woman may get caught in the spider’s web of their expensive dolls house. The large DNA keepers could become the new gods, offering or denying everything on a whim.

A possible drawback is of course the “obesity” problem which is announced by newsreaders in the same tones as the war on terror, as possibly Osama bin Laden has caused the outbreak.

Of course on removing the obesity problem by providing healthier foods and more land on which to grow it organically, all at a slower pace would this then eat into the available real estate land stock.

It's a problem, but new DNA genetically modified "flat pack" people could be made to eat the food which is starved of natural nutrients laced with chemicals. They would of course need to exist on vitamin supplements to counteract the homogenized products labelled as food.

Perhaps it's easier just to provide housing for all,(as a human right) with growing room, with large gardens to grow organic food.

Speaking on the DNA commodity problem, a friend said he was going to patent his own DNA, patent himself beating the corporations at their own game, but surely this is all getting absurd, it cannot really be happening....can it ?

The matter was taken up by Peter Snow former "swingometre" general election man and journalist at the BBC

<http://www.theherald.co.uk/features/53988.html>

and his son Dan on the BBC (10.01.2006).

The programme concludes that the Aristocracy have not lost their large land ownership over the centuries, and that the state and MOD own huge parcels, whilst former sites of common land are in danger of reverting to private hands.

It follows the legal logic of **Cahill** (see chapter 2) "Who owns Britain & Ireland" who points out that 6% of the population (70% of which are mortgaged homeowners...rising to 80%), who live in Urban mortgage land are actually funding (to a large degree) the rest of the landowners by taxes and subsidies. (and of course mortgages and rent, which is a monopoly of credit, banking state and land)

In other words they are dependant on planning not just the economy, but on advertising loans and mortgages, whilst simultaneously persuading us land is in short supply, raking capital from the slot machine of urban mortgage suburbia in order to keep the public subsidies going.

Combining public subsidy with fictitious capital around a banking system which does not have any money, yet manages to give the appearance of respectability and sound practice.

All of which is underpinned by a bank corporation, and corporations of all ilk's, who are tight knit against "the poor", but who protect each other.

Only existing with legal absurdities (to repeat)

"By the end of the nineteenth century, through a bizarre legal alchemy" "the corporation had been reconceived as a free and independent being" **Bakun**

Unable to be prosecuted, managing to convince millions that they are well off in the 1st world, whilst the rest of the world is in poverty, the banking combine works to keep legal ownership away from 2nd and 3rd worlds as **Desoto** suggests.

A look at the entire globe, and concentrating on the urban areas, you will notice vast tracks of land unpopulated, which could be populated. Cities make up only less than 2% of available land worldwide, which now with modern technology could be parcelled out very easily and cheaply.

Once upon a time a town would begin with one or two houses, next a shop followed by more houses and more shops and so on, this would eventually form a town.

After this another town would form a few miles away, but in the mortgage, land shortage scenario “planning” is prioritised into conservation and perceived land shortage.

“In this scenario, corporations get all the coercive power and resources of the state, while citizens are left with nongovernmental organisations and the markets invisible hand – socialism for the rich and capitalism for the poor, to borrow a phrase from George Bernard Shaw”

Bakun

Therefore it is not the workers who shirk their duties, or are the cause of societies downfall, it was the rich landed “commies” all the time.

The programme says however, why worry, because the land is therefore owned and managed by the few for us, so just enjoy it. (walk in it, look around, admire its history)

Alternatively you (millions of you) could phone your Member of Parliament, or potential member, and book a plot of say between 12 to 100 acres, build a house upon it for say \$45,000 and really enjoy it. After all it's yours, ours and theirs.

It was mentioned that the large landowners who look after the land for us, that farms might not be viable (without our subsidies), and inexperienced new small freeholders, may make a complete mess of things, mess up the land, but this is precisely why these new farms/homes should be made available to the “public” as soon as possible on free land, that is the common ownership (or as near as possible in the interim), after all they can learn, and keep the country looking like the beautiful (and mysterious) place it is as well as anyone.

The vast majority of large landowners do not have a legal claim to their land, other than it was taken by force by an ancestor, or it was given as a payment for service rendered beginning with William the Basterd, in effect confiscated. The programme hints that the real legal ownership is the army they own, enforcing that ownership. Yet any soldier anywhere in the world given the opportunity for 2, 4, 20, to 100 acres at £30, - £40, 000.00, will take it. The descendants of the confiscators of course are hardly to blame for this history, but it does make their responsibility all the more compelling. Conversely some large landowners will manage the land better than anyone, some have developed communes/ eco villages or new eco towns, which still provide an income, and the land and the people are managed ecologically, and not into a urban sprawl. Essentially when these villages eventually grow they will reach a certain size and then a further village will grow within a few miles of the first. This is planning. Many corporations are also a benefit to society, not a bane, but despite their good intentions the “free and individual person” a corporation is legally classed as appears as it is profit above all else, and consumes the intentions of its founders.

Objections to building on green land, whilst admitting it has crept on for many years (for profit to huge landowners) come from large landowners who fear the creep of urban sprawl, as do many interest groups.

However first time buyers and people generally could be a form of urban sprawl and pollutants by simply being.... themselves, as people.

People do create pollution of that there is no doubt, but once upon a time villages in the country began as one or two house's reaching a level of 6000 to 7000 people, built in local materials. This

could equally occur today, i.e. “the ecovillage” as there are vast tracts of land simply not lived upon. They could also be built very cheaply (see chapter 2)

Controlling the land in tandem to the money supply is producing the ridiculous price of homes.

Large natural (in materials) projects such as the new Islands being built in Dubai’s seas (which are staggering in their complexity) are providing housing (rich holiday homes) but the idea is breathtaking. Once society realises it is wealthy already, and even the poor can live in worldwide decent housing, and that even deserts can be irrigated into new havens, land can be made available anywhere (not that it needs these extremes, as land is not lacking in any case, and rainforest is not needed for this purpose, although houses in the forest, without clearing it, would surely protect it, if those that lived within it were ecologists)

Controlling the worlds commerce by controlling the world s oil, is of course a monopoly pursuit which is the cause of war throughout the 20th century, and into 2001 up to today, as well as polluting and causing environmental disasters. Why then with so many small firms starting to supply vegetable oil to run car and tractor engines does the Government (H.M Custom and Excise) raise the duty on vegetable oil to raise its price to that of petrol or diesel.

BBC News 8/11/2005

This green policy first threatened in 2003

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/wales/south_west/3104763.stm

Why because this new unregulated cottage industry could eat into the oil monopoly, and encourage

Other alternative free sources of energy production which would make the military industrial complex budget tighter as the reason for oil wars subsidies, which may eat into the worlds armaments budgets.

Yet with this new tax threatening the new source of local cheap fuel (the price of petrol and diesel is 70 % tax), the government announced it wants to reduce carbon emissions and introduce new green fuel. ? (see below)

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/4424990.stm>

Perhaps with 400 oil companies in Dallas, **Ahmed**

England does not want the oil/war/arms business to benefit “the people” and keep the great game in the small private club.

The history of empires and poverty are intertwined throughout history, and the lessons are not learnt.

In “privatised” Jerusalem it is of course, not Jerusalem, certainly not Jerusalem of the Psalm, nor is it any temple Moses, David or Soloman would recognise, not the City of lights or the Holy land, it is of course Babylon.

Perhaps a Christian television evangelist can preach on that, whilst singing “by the rivers of”.

Israel was supposed to have its Golden Jubilee in 1997-8 (50 years since it was created in one day in 1947), but no Shofar was blown, or decree enacted as directed in their entirety.

In England, no Shofar or decree was given in 2003 or indeed last month in November 2005 at the Commonwealth meetings (given that the commonwealth is a biblical fulfilment of the commonwealth of all nations).

What would it all look like if Hitler had taken over in Jerusalem, and if completed slowly would the inhabitants notice.(see Conclusion C), this does not mean that Hitler was supported completely, or were people hypnotised by the Nuremburg rallies, a philosophy which did not end in 1945. You will note the speech below from the state of the Union address Jan/Feb 2006, by President Bush has “applause” every few lines on que.

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2003/01/20030128-19.html>

Edited highlights below

- 1) “Twelve years ago, Saddam Hussein faced the prospect of being the last casualty in a war he had started and lost. To spare himself, he agreed to disarm of all weapons of mass destruction. For the next 12 years, he systematically violated that agreement. He pursued chemical, biological, and nuclear weapons, even while inspectors were in his country”
- 2) “Before September the 11th, many in the world believed that Saddam Hussein could be contained. But chemical agents, lethal viruses and shadowy terrorist networks are not easily contained. Imagine those 19 hijackers with other weapons and other plans -- this time armed by Saddam Hussein”
- 3) “The world has waited 12 years for Iraq to disarm” (including Iran, the 9/11 Saddam/Iraq mantra continues)
- 4) “In this century, the greatest environmental progress will come about not through endless lawsuits or command-and-control regulations, but through technology and innovation. Tonight I'm proposing \$1.2 billion

in research funding so that **America can lead the world in developing clean, hydrogen-powered automobiles. (Applause.)**

“A single chemical reaction between hydrogen and oxygen generates energy, which can be used to power a car -- producing only water, not exhaust fumes.”

(Of course without regulations the vote friendly speech would not even enter the agenda, and whilst this is welcomed, why not sign the Kyoto agreement ?...what will happen is, **(hydrogen-powered automobiles)** will be taxed and regulated to suit corporate profit, like the vegetable oil fuel described two pages back, cheap energy means that a vital brick in controlling the workers, will fall and so will profits, like the “nuclear” argument as “clean fuel”, in fact the spin fails to mention, that in mining uranium, the mining destroys land, is very expensive, and pollutes, but individuals cannot control it so it is good to make the fuel scarce, to “prove” supply and demand and to make huge profits)

5) “ I thank the Congress for supporting these measures. I ask you tonight to add to our future security with a major research and production effort to guard our people against bioterrorism, called Project Bioshield. The budget I send you will propose almost \$6 billion to quickly make available effective vaccines and treatments against agents like anthrax, botulinum toxin, Ebola, and plague. We must assume that our enemies would use these diseases as weapons, and we must act before the dangers are upon us. (Applause.) **(but see the beginning of chapter 8)**

“Since September the 11th, our intelligence and law enforcement agencies have worked more closely than ever to track and disrupt the terrorists. The FBI is improving its ability to analyze intelligence, and is transforming itself to meet new threats. Tonight, I am instructing the leaders of the FBI, the CIA, the Homeland Security, and the Department of Defense to develop a Terrorist Threat Integration Center, to merge and analyze all threat information in a single location. Our government must have the very best information possible, and we will use it to make sure the right people are in the right places to protect all our citizens. (Applause.)” **(Extraordinary rendition, and no division of powers making totalitarianism, the speech claims it is fighting)**

6) "Americans are a free people, who know that freedom is the right of every person and the future of every nation. The liberty we prize is not America's gift to the world; it is God's gift to humanity. (Applause.)"

Mr Bush promised to be more liberal in his next term of office, but although the concerns for the environment and the poor are edged into the speech, he admitted "America" was addicted to oil, before the US elections (2000 and 2004) this idea would have been in the "conspiracy theories" (reason for Iraq) bracket, the reality is the same lies are being fostered by the right, (with extreme far right behind them) and nothing has changed. Oil needs to be controlled, but the sun and waves and wind and the earth are free.

Like the absurdity of making water a commodity, many third countries, with rain have water purifiers collecting their own massive source outside the front door (by filtering through stones etc). Imagine if we all did this and then someone pulled the plugs on the water dams, we would still receive a water bill to pay the cost of the "private infrastructure" which could have been publicly built. Energy is the same.

Why, ? well in the world where 30, 000 starve every day, and 3 people die every 3 seconds from poverty, Shell (Anglo Dutch Shell) announce profits in 2006 of \$23 Billion dollars, it is grotesque.

In Nigeria for example Shell extract its resource Oil, this allows an overclass, to manage the western owned government there (whether they agree with it or not) to make sure Lagos (worlds fastest growing city) and surrounding area are kept in poverty, dire poverty.

If the state of the Union speech had said

“You fools, im lying, im rich, im wearing Saddams underpants, but reading this prepared script to the best of my ability, I maintain that people are slaves, we will annihilate or control you all, and crush and pollute the world, and we love you ” (Applause)

...as God had told them too....would anyone notice.

Bibliography

Ahmed, N. M. (2005) *The War on Truth* Arris books

Mac Aonghusa, Proinsias (Ed) *What Connolly Said* NEW IRESLAND BOOKS

Backhouse Roger E (2002) *The Penquin History of Economics* Penquin Books.

Bakun (2005) *The Corperation* Free Press Bamford (2004) *A Pretext for War, 9/11, Iraq, and the Abuse of America's Intelligence Agency* Doubleday

Bello, Walden (2005) *Global Finance New Thinking on Regulating Speculative Capital Markets* Zed books

Cahill Kevin (2001) *Who owns Britain; The hidden facts behind landownership in the uk and Ireland* Edinburgh; Canongate.

Chomsky, Noam (2003) *Understanding Power* Vintage.

Cockburn A, & Cockburn P (2000) *Saddam Hussein an American Obsession* Verso

De Soto H (2001) *The Mystery of Capital* Black Swan

Douglas (1974) *Economic Democracy with the delusion of super production* Bloomfield publishers (reprint)

Douglas (1933) *Social Credit* Eyre and Spottiswoode

Engdhal William F(1992) *A century of War Anglo –American Oil Politics* Published by Consortium USA

Engelbrecht and Hanighan (1934) 1st ED *Merchants of Death; A study of the International Armament Industry*. George Routledge and Sons

Engels, Frederick & Marx, Karl (1947) *The German Ideology One of the classics of Marxian Philosophy. A basic work on historical materialism* New York, International Publishers.

Gesell Silvio (1906) *The Natural Economic Order (online)* <http://www.systemfehler.de/en/neo/>

Hanighan Frank C & Zischka A, (1935) 1st ED *The Secret War, the War for Oil* George Routledge & Sons

Hargreaves John (1942) *Professor Skinner alias Montague Norman Governor of the Bank of England* Wells Gardner

Harman, Chris (1995) *Economics of the Mad house* Bookmarks

Lenin, Vladimir (1917) *The State and Revolution* Michigan Marxian Educational Society (USA)

Marx Karl (1906) *Capital* (Ed by Frederick Engels) New York The Modern Library New York

Morgan, Austen (1990) *Labour and Partition, The Belfast Working Class, 1905-1923* Pluto Press

Murray, Douglas (2005) *Neoconservatism; why we need it*, Social affairs unit

Pool J & Pool S (1979) *Who Financed Hitler, The Secret funding of Hitlers Rise to Power 1919 - 1933*

Rands R.S.J. (1970) *The Problem of Money* The Social credit party.

Rowbotham, Michael.(1998) *The Grip of Death, a study of modern money, debt slavery and destructive economics.*
Oxfordshire. John Carpenter publishing.

Rowbotham, Michael.(2000) *Goodbye America;Globalisation, debt and the dollar empire.* John Carpenter publishing.

Sands, Phillippe Q.C. (2006) *Lawless World* Penquin

Seymour, Charles (1926) *Intimate papers of Colonel House* (2 vols) New York. Houghton Mifflin

Smith, Adam (1976) *The Wealth of Nations* University of Chicago Press

Sutton, Anthony (1981) *Wall Street and the Bolsevik Revolution.* Veritas

Smith J.W (2005) *Economic Democracy The Political Struggle for the 21st Century* (4th Ed) IED Press

Truell, P. & Gurwin, L. (1992) *False Profits, The inside story of BCCI, the worlds most corrupt financial empire*
Houghton Mifflin Company

Waters Ed (1991) *Che Guevara, Cuba & the Road to Socialism*, articles by Che Quevara, Carlos Rodriquez, Carlos

Tablada, Jack Barnes, Steve Clark & Mary Alice Waters New International, Pathfinder

Zinn Howard (2003) *A peoples history of the United States* Pearson 1492- Present Education Limited

