

## **Introduction continued**

**A break has occurred in this introduction to allow the reader to reflect on the two websites contained within the text of the introduction link (front page). There are countless examples of such “sights”.**

“ My Lords, ladies and gentlemen, members of the clergy, honourable dignitaries, members of the committee(s) and.....fellow peasants” (The Dubliners)

Also included (by some of the band members,) would be the industrial workers or proletariat , of course whilst the proletariat make up 60% of the worlds population, 25 % of the rest are the landless and “lumpen proletariat”, or better known in Africa or Asia as the starving, a member of which starves and dies every 3 seconds.(still)..look at Darfur, people trapped, starving like pawns, on the oil rich land

In some country’s the line between the two is very thin, and today the middle class, are in receipt of property and possessions, but do not own anything outright except the right to have good credit ratings to purchase items they do not own. Their wages falling below their ability to repay, all classes are in this position.

A new eclectic approach to finance and politics is required, which finances from the ground up, and like any system can produce Billions for everyone.

As will be seen further along in this introduction, the Conservatives were masters of illusion, (now) and when they first came to power in 1979. Illusionists, just as the current Tories (new labour) are, and they reserve this illusion primarily for finance and your money. To conserve, to be Conservatives, is the exact

opposite of what they actually do. They sold and sold to fragment people into “consumers”. This neo-conservatism imported from double glazing, (or anything that moved) salesmen in the USA, simply made the rich richer, whilst shareholders felt important, but lost their meagre shares in “the market” crashes or poor company performances. People had also lost the ownership of their assets which was not conserved. Justified by inefficient industry, which had been completely run down to a standstill, supposedly by “the left” (not having any philosophy or text to support such actions, except to force ownership to the workers), privatisation was made more palatable to the public.(such as BT, which then took one and a half years to get a phone installed, but who owned the treasury ?).

BT could have been given funds, either added onto the national debt or from taxation. Of course it was subsidised to the tune of Billions, and it always made a loss, but a loss in terms of what ?. The treasury at this time complained that the national debt was running out of control (by subsidising such industries), but the money supply under old labour or the conservatives was and is privately owned, as was and is the mint, as was and is the treasury. Therefore these state assets could be manipulated from these sources, and they were introduced by the aristocracy and the rich merchants, not the workers, trade unions or indeed any group sympathetic to supporting the poor or ordinary person. This arrangement may have removed Royal claims over the state, but it did not provide economic democracy for everyone.

With a more optimistic view of work and Union agreement these nationalised industries could have received funds and its debts could have been cancelled, and the national debt erased or turned into equity. Every treasury “government” minister knows this, but it is never said.(have you heard it, ever,? it’s real and can happen, and will appear more attractive after 2008)

Instead in 1979, the wild out of control national debt as an excuse was introduced to sell off assets,(industry, land and buildings) when they could have been made “well again”, with new technology, and direct worker

input. The conservatives maintained it (i.e. privatisation, not being on the national books) would reduce national debt expenditure, selling the lie they were minding your money, when in fact they were selling off state assets, which only met current fiscal expenditures needed for that year. (in fact with private control of the money supply, privatisation also increases the national debt)

This is the heart of the conservative lie, and of course the national debts increased under the conservatives as before, because the same arrangement of private mint, treasury and bank continues to this day.

It is similar to the selling of council housing, under the guise of not been able to meet the decent homes standard by 2010. These standards are not met because they are not funded, but the selling off is funded...subsidised by government, (from rents) who claim not to be able to meet repairs from rents (the decent homes standard) because they cannot afford it.?

Many large estates sold off, then have this subsidy removed, forcing the new private owners to sell of the houses completely. (...not for profit owners, but financed by private banks, who of course require a profit themselves, after the subsidies have finished)

Those that do reach operational level, have rents increased to pay executives wages, and new committees (quango's) find that they are not the source of power in the new organisation, making former tenants even less "empowered" than before. This is conducted by asking tenants to vote for three options, the 4<sup>th</sup> option (to keep ownership with the local authority is not included, but should have been) is withheld in the "vote", some of which only received 9% reply in total, as tenants do not know what they are voting for.

Since the 4<sup>th</sup> option is a Labour party resolution to support, the excuse is given by local authority's that a 4<sup>th</sup> option will not receive funding (similar to the health care nonsense, see the 1<sup>st</sup> part of the intro, page 4 to 6 ), but as more and more councils reject the 3 options, (now over 100) Ruth Kelly has suggested that funds

could be made available to fund the 4<sup>th</sup> option.(but will not fully commit as yet,...why because the money is there in rents and in the future in real money, but has to be hidden, which is not funny is it). The real overall aim is to subject people to the “market”, why ?...well because they are lazy, or because they enjoy their right as taxpayers to have affordable homes, but mostly because they have not had a Torie lobotomy, become cloned and pointless blaming as always the working class, and taking advantage of the poor and defenceless in society.

<http://www.communities.gov.uk/index.asp?id=1500580> <http://www.defendcouncilhousing.org.uk/dch/>

A significant proportion of the national debt in the Torie/Thatcher days was probably spent on Saatchi and Saatchi (the brand and advertising company) who since Saint George Bernard Shaw in Pygmalion, had not invested so much time and money to make a commoner “posh”,(Margaret Thatcher...Denis was beyond their skills, and spent his most of his time with brother Boar, down in the white mans paradise...Africa) selling a one nation one people myth with shares, that ultimately only fed the beast, and became a dragon.!

This, the second part of this introduction also looks at Imperialism, suppression, slavery and discrimination around the world.(the class system).Remember the plutocracy said it was God that made them invade Iraq. (Although this is now backtracked?,...see the first part of the introduction page 27).It also examines why compromise with the establishment means that you will never receive your rights, your wealth or a decent quality of life.

In France, (in March/April 2006) no compromise was the issue and success of the French population, they not only organised and approached the centre of power they forced it out of power temporarily, and changed it. Now those draconian labour laws are changed, making conditions better for the workers.

In Britain, the enormous mass strikes over the pensions issue collapsed at the last moment because the Unions and the TUC obey the government. The biggest domestic strike in history terrified the union leaders, fearing it could go “out of control”, the result is that we have to work longer until the age of 68, ....when the average life expectancy is age 67 !, how cynical and corrupt is it, when the fat cats are paid a fortune for doing next to nothing. Yet even in Europe or in Britain or indeed in any independent countries, the neo-liberal, neo conservative machine is still being rolled out by back door legalisation.

The lecturers strikes (who are grossly underpaid) in May/June 2006 was actually criticised by the Student Union, which like education generally has left the working class behind. The solution is to replace the TUC, NUS leadership and Unions generally from the ground up.

This book (or books) also examines why race is played as a card to prevent lasting deep rooted change, (and to the annoyance of some) why religion is used to diffuse anger and criticism, by people who claim to be religious, but who misrepresent that religion, or religions, offering no hope in this life but also no hope in the next (if of course there is a next). This difficult examination, the relationship between politics and religion is one of the problems facing theorists who are trying to improve peoples conditions worldwide, namely by encouraging better conditions and political analysis without persecuting or banning religion or promoting it.

Karl Marx of course did not support religion, but merely saw it as one more facet of the workers plight, which still needed the same approach as it did to that of the atheists. The state was not to teach religion as a central theory, on the other hand it could teach of religions or philosophy.

Both Stalinist Russia and the USA have fostered support for political Islam (Afghanistan) and Israel has encouraged the rise of political Islamists, some of whom have bombed Israel in return with the intent of

dividing Palestinian opinion. The USA has encouraged political Islamists by torturing them into hatred to increase the likelihood that they will be so radicalised as to “pick up the gun”, when and not until they can pre-emptively strike with very very big guns. This is cited as a Christian act, with no Christian text to support it.

After thousands of years of slavery and movements against slavery, and two hundred years since the French revolution, history with or without religion has still led us to a world which if looked upon as a whole is completely mad and poverty stricken.

If the perceptions of a few changed and altered these infernal cycles, would it matter what their beliefs were, a good Samaritan or a righteous orthodoxy, both seem to be commended in the bible, but it seems neither was guaranteed a heavenly reward. If religion is opium, then profound and enlightening entertainment, as Dostoevsky or Kafka still proves in novels and plays to this day is also a feature of our programmed media which even without religion is still a drug. Of course they may have been seen as just an eccentric, and even Marx in his Doctoral PhD (1841) completed when he was 23 referred to (in its foreword) “to the confession of Prometheus”. Marx of course hated the “gods” but Marx in hating them may have believed that although self consciousness was the highest divinity, nevertheless (at least in thought) aimed his proofs at the non existence of god as proof of their existence, by referring to them....

Marx argued that the proof of labour being the source of all value not only lay in the theories within the book, but also the task of writing and completing the book “Capital” itself.

He argued that change is inevitable, but he was not a Hegelian who believed that the old and reaction to the old which produces a new beginning, (the opposites eventually reconciled in the absolute, thesis,+ antithesis = synthesis). A third point of view, a synthesis, arises from this conflict. It overcomes the conflict by

reconciling the truths contained in the thesis and antithesis at a higher level. The synthesis is a new thesis. It generates in turn, a new antithesis, and the process continues until truth is arrived at.

Truth (as is perceived) is not the whole for Marx, Hegel maintained that it was the whole as conflicts will morph into each other to give a new truth. Therefore Hegel's view supported a linear line of history to support the status quo.(and his dialectical view)

Marx believed that materialism was man's starting point and truth was a perception not yet perceived, and by using the power of abstraction, the hidden could be revealed (even though reality was a many complex thing).

Marx recognised that Capitalism would produce stability for the few for limited periods (when without competition, in a less profitable period leading to smaller but stable profits, workers would feel less pressured, before eventually competition forces profits to fall and workers to suffer and a crisis ensues).

The problem therefore eventually is, if opposites do not come to terms with each other and in their third stage form a new unity, what is the perfect revolutionary form the world could take, would the struggle keep breaking the possibility of synthesis. If a perfect balanced world wide workers revolution materialised, would it produce a utopia or would it produce a further disgruntled mass, which needs to form a revolution to overthrow the workers perfect revolution. If so revolution must keep going, on and on never reaching a climax, even though it has produced the results Marx possibly envisaged.

Capital is the finest book on economics ever written, and in my view allows all to benefit from its wisdom.

As it will be seen in chapter 8, religion can become a hybrid of Hegel's & Marx dialectic, the continuous revolution of the pure against the impure in political Islamic (or any religion) revolution became a tool which its enemy's used against it, by means of further revolution.(the "war on terror"). This is of course

way beyond the meaning of revolution in Marxist meaning, and is an added twist to revolution, when the extremes of finding reasons for revolution,.. for revolutions sake do not subside. Capitalism is not compatible with religion or indeed a fair secular world. A revolution from below, which does not dictate but regulates without disintegrating into passivity is the difficulty peasants and workers have had throughout history.

And as revolution aided by the power of abstraction reveals ever new truths materialistically, it must or might follow, that believing in God and simultaneously being a Marxist and a romantic is possible, until abstraction proves otherwise in observance. Therefore the once and the future must eventually collide producing a new reality, which ill at ease with each other in the struggle, will eventually succeed and kings will be servants and servants, kings conquering both the *ad infinitum* dialectical materialism and the need for the continuance of the Status quo... (or possibly not, ...and after the philosophy lesson are you still broke or poor !).

Either way the majority Marx believed are still oppressed, and of course the non proletariat who are starving prove by dying that Hegel's dialectic does not reconcile into a new truth (for them...unless we believe in the afterlife), but does maintain the status quo, and perhaps the proletariat who may reach population levels of 80% (out of 6 to 8 billion) in the revolution, will face further revolution from the "lumpenproletariat" (landless rabble).

This book also questions how "indigenous" peoples are not respected and are written out of history, but as Gaelic (as one language) is now incorporated into the European Unions recognition of languages; it is a mystery as to why this was overlooked in previous centuries, many other ancient languages should also be recognised.

It also disposes of the idea that those on the left are reactionaries, opposing idealism, when in fact the free Capitalist countries are moribund, chained in debt, which is then (and not until) termed a democracy, suggesting freedom and liberty. As with the “the Legislative and Regulatory Reform Bill” in Britain which is also to be imposed on Ulster (by New Labour or the New Tories), its purpose is to take away any parliamentary scrutiny of government legislation, or in other words it will bolster totalitarianism. This is a world wide phenomenon in the “democracies”.

Even without these new sweeping bills the “parliamentocracy” is undemocratic, underpinning the façade of power, of which the constitutional monarchy is a part (or the bank owned copyright of constitutional monarchy, which in Australia, before the commonwealth games in 2006, it was announced by the Queen herself that the monarchy would be removed and a full Republic would be introduced ).

A part of the role of any monarch in any nation is not to benefit from the wealth of the nation personally, and not to represent it “non de plume”.

[http://money.uk.msn.com/MyMoney/Insight/Special\\_Features/Pennywise/article.aspx?cp-documentid=436396](http://money.uk.msn.com/MyMoney/Insight/Special_Features/Pennywise/article.aspx?cp-documentid=436396)

<http://www.bread.org/learn/global-hunger-issues/famine.html>

And Ireland as a member of the commonwealth also starved, obviously the common... wealth only answers the prayers of the few, and of course it is not a direct fulfilment of the biblical commonwealth of nations, but only imperialists genius to persuade the superstitious to part with their commonwealth. A test of the commonwealth would be for it to cancel all debts, as it should under its own law,

but.....<http://www.thenewjerusalem.isaweb.net/downloads/Intro.pdf> (as one example)

Following the local election results in May 2006 in Britain, with a turnout of only 36%, it is obvious that a new broad left party is needed, (with or without old labour), which can try a policy New Labour and the sister party the New Tories (who have no policies separate from Blair or Brown) never had,...honesty, one

which can settle the European issues as Prime Minister Wilson did in the 1970s. After which in or out (of only the currency identity, not membership, as we are all ready full members) you will note that poverty still exists and will not improve either way. (under current policies) There are many fragmented parties who could form such a coalition and provide a joint left party which I am sure voters will turn out over 90% to vote. Individual parties will not achieve a viable fourth party, unless they all join together. (Amen)

It also displayed just how barren and devoid of any substance politics has become when the conservative party are re-elected. People deserve more choice than this.

Politicians, civil servants and the judiciary are supposed to be servants also, and “waving” is not it. At the centre of the constitutional monarchy is of course discrimination against Roman Catholics, or indeed any “other”, why? to protect only a bank or virtual parliament. Quangos, unelected personnel and oppression rather than service.

This is happening all over the world by stealth or by direct military “intervention”, or by security alerts such as the “7/7” bombings which has now revealed a fifth bomber, arrested the night before, and none of them have any links to “al Qaeda” (see further chapter 8). Similar bizarre incidents have taken place in East Ham, Forest Gate in June 2006.

And as for the reaction against the Iraq war, look around, it’s the case with all wars.

The far right, including those in many Unions, churches and organisations which are supposedly on the left, or supposedly there to shepherd, are sometimes led by the repeated hysteria of multiculturalism (instead of realising the land is the mysterious hidden factor which makes a culture worth preserving)...which in the long term in this modern age of travel and communications and capitalism (creating an underclass) will see in years to come all races in all nations...then the reality that class is the real enemy will become apparent.

Compounded by poverty it will be a few controlling billions of people, this is not a spiritual/ religious judgement, but a reflection (if you want a spiritual/religious analogy) of Christianity itself, when it seems every tribe and nation will be gathered together (Revelations 21) and share heaven in the New Jerusalem, if so, why should it be any different here on earth....well it seems to have begun when Adam and Eve (who were definitely white, in some mythologies/political philosophies, the proof being they “blushed rosy”), and skipping a few millennia (or 4004 years next Wednesday) coming forward in time, we must build walls to keep out those who we have devastated either militarily or economically or who do not simply blush rosy, who are trying to build a new life. Of course this does not mean Adam or Eve were not white, nor does it exclude the possibility they were a two different “shades”.

All of this is a point not lost on the “Latino” tribes of America.

Also in the late 1960s in Ireland many demonstrated for their civil rights, feeling alienated in a country their ancestors lived within for millennia, a situation similar to the “indigenous” peoples of “South” America, who of course arrived in the Americas before any Republican member of the USA. Most ironically of all “English” people themselves, do not hold passports or visas or work permits in Britain, and are not indigenous to it or Scotland, Ireland or Wales. Will they assume self deportation?

Millions of former indigenous peoples in April 2005, in the USA, demonstrated as “illegal” immigrants, many having fled regimes the USA caused either by military or corporate imperialism. Ultimately Capitalism produces an underclass, whatever their tribe or colour.

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/americas/4896182.stm>

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/americas/4902456.stm>

Does new ethnicity dilute “culture” or heritage, or does it allow further opportunities to teach its value (if it has any). Surely this is the point, and many “whites” are of course “abroad”, as are corporations, should they

then return home, deported !, it's a choice which has to be resolved, but think about it, the world without borders works both ways.

As you have seen from the front page web links i.e. the soldiers who are now anti-war, and the results of war itself (on indigenous peoples who did not ask for it)

Consider further the case of Flight Lieutenant Dr Malcolm Kendall-Smith, who refused to return to Iraq.

<http://news.independent.co.uk/uk/legal/article351482.ece>

or the case of Ben Griffin (SAS) <http://www.greenparty.org.uk/news/2460> or

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/Iraq/Story/0,,1729553,00.html> or <http://www.vaiw.org/vet/index.php>

and to repeat see <http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.isaweb.net/downloads/Chapter9.pdf> page 357 or

<http://www.vvaw.org/> or <http://mfaw.org.uk/>

This of course joins together dissenters from every security service and from within the military industrial complex worldwide, who only need to look at <http://www.economicdemocracy.isaweb.net/downloads/Chapter%208.pdf> (chapter 8) pages 61 and 62, and the free film on the frontpage, to see why or who is creating whatever is being created around the world, (corporations/military) and why energy shortage is nearly entirely to blame. The US has moved into virtual neo-Nazism, (whilst many sleep on) and towards totalitarian status.

Of course the previous empire to the US did much the same under a convenient philosophy of its era and ilk, and has to a large degree controlled the US foreign policy since 1947. These and previous have always's been controlled by a few people only.

Ulster like Iraq and its borders and therefore its ethnic identity were drawn up by Churchill on behalf of the rich (only), today this framework is blamed for plummeting Iraq into a civil war, ? a further justification for

keeping troops in.? Making amends for these crimes is retrospective, and these are the reasons why the covert history is covered up.

### **How does imperialism work,... first by deception.**

Currently in April 2006, without anybody making the decisions or by ballot or referendum, or any other means, imperialism has imposed its wishes on the situation in Ulster. Namely that unless the executive of the Stormont assembly elect ministers [http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/northern\\_ireland/4890534.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/northern_ireland/4890534.stm) by November 2006 that an imposed joint rule will be placed on Ulster from Dublin and London.

It is all of course nothing to do with Terrorism, as after Republicans in Ireland disarmed, where is the Stormont Parliament?, whilst any lifting of suspension will only be seen as a means of ratifying Ulster out of "Ireland" before it is suspended again, (for any reason at all) and when Roman Catholics or Nationalists are forced to vote for British not United Ireland status in local elections, all under the threat of intimidation.

The security system geared to their determent, has received US congress backing for an independent public inquiry, without which the Stormont parliament is void of democracy and economic democracy, and representation to its population. An investigation into collusion.

[http://www.house.gov/list/press/nj04\\_smith/prFinucaneII.html](http://www.house.gov/list/press/nj04_smith/prFinucaneII.html)

Within 12 days of this call for an investigation from the USA, into the Finucane family's loss from institutional assassination, there is a call for an investigation into (not just Denis Donaldson's role) the wider spy allegations, but this time by Unionists. Unionists claim that Martin McGuinness is an English spy, which is of course nonsense (with whom they would not sit in power ?, the Orange Order protecting as it

does the “crown”) all to detract from the collusion claims and its investigations. Spy rings are of course run by the Orange Order, and even English intelligence is denying the claims <http://www.irishpost.co.uk/news/story.asp?j=4192> & [http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/northern\\_ireland/5041482.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/northern_ireland/5041482.stm)

but see how both sides are pitted against each other [http://www.seeingred.com/Copy/2.1\\_CODE\\_weiraff.html](http://www.seeingred.com/Copy/2.1_CODE_weiraff.html)

When of course there are real agents about, trying to destabilise the Republic or the peace process.

Since the investigations ultimately will lead to the source of the Orange Whig origin of the revolutionary private club in London,(now indistinguishable as two same value bank notes from the Tories) it can only benefit the people of Ulster, yet how can the Orange Order investigate itself, a claim the Finucane family make as do Judges invited to investigate the troubles.

The logical conclusion of such an investigation(s) which since the early 1970s and before, has instigated a campaign, (whilst it remains in London, supposedly defending the nation and in this time of threatened joint rule from London and Dublin) is that London is responsible for many of the bombings that took place over the decades, ? Including those in Dublin, and must have also run the campaign against Protestants? Some former agents and Authors are saying just that.

**Republicans need to discuss across all divisions why Ulster is being sold away, and why a United Ireland is the only solution, and this aim of rebuilding a revolutionary Republican army, begins with owning its own parliament in Dublin. M15 has their office based in the English embassy in Dublin and has been since “Security and Intelligence” then known as C3, (Irish intelligence) was formally handed over to the British government in the form of MI5 (BIH) at a secret meeting at Baldonnel airport in early 1974.**

**Within the civil service, the dail (government), finance and security, on the basis of preventing terrorism they are causing it, “agents” are planted to do just that.**

[http://www.prisonplanet.com/news\\_alert\\_mi5terror\\_4.html](http://www.prisonplanet.com/news_alert_mi5terror_4.html)

<http://www.wsws.org/articles/2001/may2001/fru-m15.shtml>

<http://www.espionageinfo.com/Int-Ke/Ireland-Intelligence-and-Security.html>

**Of course on one level there is a United Ireland, the European level, but no one has noticed, as to who controls the European level or who should (considering the devastating wars WW1 and WW2, and the US and England deciding to instigate and pay for those wars) is related in the following chapters and conclusions. Inevitably Europe is the future and its old attitudes will whither away and provide the most forward thinking and peaceful society, prosperous, welcoming and free.(for all, without discrimination). Its financial model however is proving very unpopular.**

**The emergence of the neo-liberal economics of debt is owed to their encouragement and should be defaulted on (turned to equity perhaps) as soon as possible, as the Irish has paid enough for long enough...this is the difference between autonomy and sovereignty...as Ireland is her own. It also calls into question groups which claim to represent Ireland and its first government and declaration of independence, but of course do not. Removing this spy system throughout Ireland, Scotland Wales and Britain is pre-requisite to Irish independence.**

<http://www.cpa.org.au/garchve04/1181miners.html>

The Orange order is an order of the rich, which whilst allowing the working class to enter,(they did not benefit from the revolution) it cannot explain why current proposals were not introduced into Ulster in 1922, when all of its inhabitants could have been spared “the war”. It begins to makes sense when the few, the landed, including Churchill drew the border, to keep enough “opposites” within it, to keep them pitted

against each other, amidst the “low intensity operations”. Its example can be explained by the poet William Butler Yeats, who although supported home rule, nevertheless as a member of the Aristocracy derided the Irish peasantry (from where he drew his inspiration) during his tours of America. (see R.F.Foster 1997, *WB Yeats a life volume 1 The Apprentice Magi* Oxford University Press)

His great, great, great grandfather was of course a hero of Organism, and he eventually withered into Fascism, designing the Republics banknotes, whilst symbolically Dublin and Ulster were partitioned.

Yeats may have written that the shide liked “to chase frothy bubbles, whilst the world is full of troubles”, the reality is his ilk have created the world more full of weeping. A supposed Celtic inspiration, he was in later years, after his youthful inspiration in a Celtic land only a imperialist,(out of shape from head to toe), who was unable to concentrate on his “attention fix on modern Spanish or Russian politics” (with that girl standing there), when Maud Gonne rejected him for Republicanism, and who had to concede the Celt was not simply a dreamer, but have many governments from which to govern. Alternative proposals that have actually worked and have been thought through, envisage better conditions based upon two sides not three, and these proposals will be adhered to openly and without discrimination, politically, economically and spiritually. Its outline however must include new mature analysis on debt and its mockery of any financial system, and a recognition that poverty disguised as wealth without individualism is a sham (see chapter 10, and conclusion A).

It also must recognise that regions, and some regions may wish much more autonomy than others, who may wish to devise a solution on one level may not wish a level of the EU to dominate it or indeed be a part to it. This said it is a reality that Unionism has courted with more trust the EU, than Republicanism has. Europe after the constitutions defeat, and after the European social forum in Greece (2006) is a different Europe than even a year ago.

Considering that two sides in Ulster have fought for “independence” for over two thousand years, it seems bizarre that both would then concede to the European Union, which both are now a part of ?

If any doubts or believe these proposals outdated, it is with certainty that poverty is higher in Ulster across both denominations than in any other region of the European Union in Wales, Scotland Ireland and Britain..

Socialists and many who do not want any labels attached to a policy or policies to lift people out of poverty, and since money and real wealth existed before any philosopher or politician or rebel constructed a belief system capable of enriching a society, then accumulative ideas are of course what produces a valuable and enriched solution to the millennia of the war of the poor against the rich.

<http://cain.ulst.ac.uk/issues/politics/docs/sf/sinnfein79.htm>

A 32 county Ireland along these lines is also supported by Protestants.see below, although Catholics are “unpeople” (Confused).Yet Celtic restoration cannot be completely restored without a United Ireland, and there is no hurry, or reason on for a delay.

<http://cain.ulst.ac.uk/othelem/organ/docs/tara73.htm>

[http://indymedia.ie/newswire.php?story\\_id=71146&topic=rightsandfreedoms](http://indymedia.ie/newswire.php?story_id=71146&topic=rightsandfreedoms)

The current aim is to separate Republicans into two groups or more (as in 1922), in the hope that they will divide, then more and more outrageous philosophies and theologies will be poured into loyalist minds to pit them against the remainder.(see Cain weblinks above), this is best explained when examines that real Christianity, (or any meaningful group or political party) which has been hidden by the Orange order (see pages 35-43), has of course provided yet one more instrument to divide a population.

It is time for Ireland to Unite, and search its Celtic History, which also exists in Britain, although it has been suppressed to produce the current German/Dutch plebiscite,(which never formerly carried out plebiscite permissions) which without referendum or vote, is in fact dictated by finance.

They in turn, also make a mockery out of the very people they wave at, whilst encouraging them to die in millions, whilst they exist surrounded by unimaginable wealth, in the virtual parliament.

When the frothy bubbles burst people will turn to real economics not fiction. And as living the dream is a dream (literally) only built on crushing Billions of others, and millions find that the dream is in fact a nightmare, reality and a new outlook will take over.

Even the cities and buildings inhabited by institutions and the rich were built on slavery not upon honest entrepreneurialism. This said past mistakes and power for powers sake repeated cannot build any new society.

### **Why is al Qaeda not al Qaeda ? (when war needs oil that's why)**

**The media will confuse and distort often producing very different, but similar versions of the same event or watered down incomplete story.**

Full journalism with independence is diminishing in established media outlets, yet independent media is no longer reliant on expensive infrastructure to broadcast. Imperialism and colonialism not only attempts to enslave land or peoples it also colonialised history and information and tools about history.

For example the **killling of Abu Musab al-Zarqawi “leader” of al-Qaeda in Iraq in June 8<sup>th</sup> 2006..** (the Butcher), was trained by mentors the CIA trained themselves.

It was immediately followed by 3 bombs killing 40 people.

[http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle\\_east/5059494.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/5059494.stm)

In fact Zarqawi's pedigree, follows from Ayman al Zawahari, mentor to Osama bin Laden.

A very odd letter released by the director of the USA's national intelligence in late 2005, showing correspondence between Abu Musab al-Zarqawi & Ayman al Zawahari is available to read here

<http://www.mainandcentral.org/archives/2005/10/rovewellian.html>

or <http://kurtnimmo.com/?p=353>

Zawahari, mentor to Osama bin Laden and to the radical Islamists Sayeed Qutb in the 1950s.(pronounced cutta), who admired and trained under Leo Strauss, an American professor of political philosophy, both came to see western liberalism as corrosive to morality and to society, he was mentor to Wolfowitz, Rumsfeld, Cheney etc and the neocons/cia in general, who all needed a war, a war within a war. Today this transpires, separate or connected to the mass insurgency Iraqis are conducting themselves which is 50,000 + strong (see further chapter 8 pages 11 - 20) and the BBC the power of nightmares documentary.

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/programmes/3755686.stm>

Abu Musab al-Zarqawi "leader" of al-Qaeda in Iraq escaped twice, ! as well as occasionally checking in with US intelligence every now and then (see conclusion b pages 18- 21 3<sup>rd</sup> link up above).His role was to cause sectarian strife (in the already artificial border the Empire drew many decades before) between Sunni & Shia, which is supposed to disrupt the stable government and flourishing democracy, ...but which one, the one controlled by

- 1) Bush/neocon corp or
- 2) Their own government, with their own oil and self government nationalised to them.

Bush/neocon corp with or without stable democracy (which explains Abu Musab al-Zarqawi's dual role) of course still gets its aim of oil and defence, rebuilding (private) contracts (all self induced), and that has been

the case for the past four years, as it was with Saddam in power and as it will be with the current government who have tortured and Brutalised its own population.

There is no coverage in mainstream media of Zarqawi's pedigree or real role in the "war", and CNN continues to newspeak the surreal situation worldwide, rarely even mentioning the lack of WMD strategy of tension false claims.

If Ken Bigley's family or relatives of soldiers killed in Iraq wonder that not only is the war illegal, but the very people they are fighting are also employed by "their side" to fight against them.

See link <http://100777.com/node/1220> & <http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/alqmaryland.html>  
<http://fairuse.1accesshost.com/news2/stratfor-london.html>

Zarqawi was simply becoming a liability and provided a surreal world press moment. It is sinister and beyond comparison to any televised war ever staged in front of public opinion, funded by their own money, by people who have killed more, than a thousand like Zarqawi ever could (or sick in short)

**A complete fabrication aimed at level of our consciousness, as the violence false patriotism and continued denials of children, men and woman killed brutally or tortured by the new government or by the co-alition, because this war is only a part of the war, which continues across all continents and countries by the rich.**(see further <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-8260059923762628848&pr=goog-sl> )  
[www.loosechange911.com](http://www.loosechange911.com)

As the news from the "war zone" is no different from domestic news concerning domestic issues, why is it allowed to continue....why, well there are many pipelines and many needy recipients.

Denis Donaldson's family blame the security services in London for his death, and these institutions as spies have tried to move operation into the Republic to destabilise the Republic.

[http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/northern\\_ireland/4886774.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/northern_ireland/4886774.stm)

<http://larkspirit.com/history/radical.html> (Real history of modern Ireland)

Post the Good Friday agreement, people polled in Ireland's Sunday business post on April 2<sup>nd</sup> 2006, stated that a majority of people believed that a United Ireland was the first priority of Government, and indeed this was the nucleus concerning the reasons for the Good Friday vote across the whole of Ireland. (check the papers archives).

<http://www.thepost.ie/post/pages/home.aspx-qqqt%3D-qqqs%3Dnav-qqqx%3D1x-qqqt%3D-qqqs%3Dnews-qqqx%3D1.asp>

See also <http://saoirse.info/> (April 2006) and

**Davitt, Michael 2001 *Michael Davitt Collected Writings, 1868-1906: Collected Writings, 1868-1906* University of Chicago Edition Synapse.**

<http://www.spd.dcu.ie/depts/history/Pages/Davitt.htm>

<http://www.thelandleague.org/history.asp>

Dissidents have now vowed never to give up the armed struggle, and it seems dissidents are numbering nearly 4 million in the Republic of Eire.

Well under the leadership of the Orange Order, which is imperialism unto itself, whether in the peace negotiations in the 1990s or today, (as some saw independence as a possible route for Ulster, joined together by those who opposed any sharing of power at all) keeping discrimination alive is its aim, with or without weapons in the possession of Republicans.

It entrenches a war which began by removing people from their homes in Scotland and Ireland, confiscating their means of living, embarked on forced emigration and then slow starvation, its final appearance as a “security” paranoia which contradicts itself at every turn.

It is shown how it invested into Russia as did Germany in 1917-19, and it is wondered why the revolution floundered or changed to bring the people into slavery, just as it does in Britain and elsewhere today (see page 9 below). (further to conclusion A and C see also **Alexander Berkman 1976 *The Russian Tragedy* Phoenix Press**).

All for profit only taken from Protestant and Catholic alike, showing that eventually “credit” must be extended, but as capitalists it is never cancelled or removed but owned, this is what the Russian revolution became, a reversion into Capitalism.

And (to repeat) as cynics cite the inevitability of human nature to corruption (and to therefore ironically promote capitalism, as if it has a logical structure), and shrink into apathy or indifference, any system can produce vast amounts of wealth, distributing it is the problem.

Invoking images of the Gulag is a common theme, but strip away any political (party) title, and you will find either good men or tyrants.

Money which controls them is blind, it does not see the philosophy which spends it, and no system can account for it...unless direct and wide control of the means of exchange can be controlled by population, without bureaucracy visibly and openly.(see chapter 3)

**(Chapter 3)**. Is this a libertarian or socialist ideal, or a capitalist concept or is it communism. Well it removes government restriction and provides regulation, and provides an antidote against corruption, whilst

decisions on what and how and who should be paid (not wages) are taken, it also empowers the proletariat, without allowing dictatorship (who make up over 60% of the worlds population), whilst allowing conservatives to feel little bit anarchical, although its doubtful anarchists need money at all, but bartering may need some organisation (at some point... surely, Proudhon, who was racist and Bakunin ultimately wanted a top down dictatorship, without government or the state, but still minded for us by a few.).

For an insight into what is not a revolution and what is, see **Draper Hal (1997) *The Two Souls of Socialism Bookmarks***. It depicts the point at which a movement becomes a true liberation,.. or (as Yeats put it) the point at which beggar climbs upon horseback, and carries on the lash.

### **As for manifesto's ....a few thoughts**

Added to the frantic horrors many use to discuss manifestos, is the misquoted and badly applied Communist manifesto.

With the abolition of the family, the abolition of private property, it does not (or should not, and is often misinterpreted) include ....cars, stereos, furniture, and does not mean your own property or house, and would mean people should have 2 - 4 acres each or more etc.

As for the abolition of the family, every communist system including those mooted before 1850 (state capitalist system in practice) which has produced a vanguard or administrating party, of course love their family, and one of the most famous Communists Ernesto (Lynch) Guevara can be seen with his daughter in



the following picture .

**Hildita Guevara addressing the comrades, calling**

**for an abolition of the abolition of the family.**

Of course Mrs Guevara was not “the other half” but an equal. Further and a much overlooked analysis of the abolition of the family, which produces an aberration in the principles and theories of this doctrine, comes out of the thoughts of communists and its consciousness of South or Latin America i.e. that of course it is very pleasant to have siestas, make love and produce offspring. And no matter how much you try you cannot break away from the fact that you love your family. Whilst sloth is of course unproductive, work is for the people not people for the work.

Thus “romanticism” is born ....always, as sure as eggs are eggs. <http://www.salsa2salsa.com/> (listen to some Latin/Cuban music whilst you read the rest of this book) & <http://radiotaino.cubasi.cu/> <http://www.tropicanafm.com/> .Yet this is not looking back at times gone by, (romantically)since Marx used his writings to predict what would happen in the future.

Some communists most notably Maoists (once) have taken the abolition of the family and the liberation of children and wife’s to literal extremes (i.e. originally it was meant to be the abolition of spouse and children

of men from being legalised commodities) to mean that this connection or affinity we place on loved ones is denied and forced out of consciousness. This is an error and also impossible. Capitalism has also gone to those extremes, to crush populations and entire continents. The reality is the poor in the countryside (who still work) and in the city (town) need to unite together. As modern eco philosophy clearly shows.

No 5 (in the manifesto) the centralisation of all banks, hysterically cited by some on the right, as the beginnings of the debt/credit system, yet Marx and Engel's spoke out against that very system and the attaching of interest to public funds, and indeed inflation as a tax, as well as the serious analysis of the inexplicable "cost – price" doctrine. Defined in many dictionaries as cost = price and price = cost?

As for the rest of the communist manifesto, it is an attempt to free mankind from the "Matrix" Capitalism Holds us in, the illusion of freedom and is much better than the spin capitalism broadcasts, but ultimately like any system can oppress or liberate, depending on the people involved.

Guevara analysing in the 1960s (when debt was a problem, but not the mountain on the horizon it is today) studied in great detail the relationship between these principles. Inflation and debt and international currency exchange making nonsense of them today, in that they can change minute by minute, whilst wages of course do not. Further international systems extend credit at interest, beyond what is earned or can be earned in the system as a deliberate policy, always giving recourse to more borrowing. Cost and price can only be completely analysed if "money" is abolished, which the communists also desired, making for a direct exchange of work for goods.

Of course this eventually improves impossible either under socialism or communism or even capitalism as even electronic money transfer is currency exchanged.(legally). It proves impossible to analyse under

capitalism, but is continued precisely to hide the worlds wealth and continue on the master and slave system called Capitalism. Of course this means we are not “free” or living in the land of the “free”.

Profit is considered to be one of the components of cost, yet labour or the work going into the activity of cost is last to be considered and is driven to cost only the minimum wage (or much, much less), and is the excuse (if wages rise) for inflation, which is a tax in itself. Everything we do as work is past, whilst money the future exchange in wages or commodities or housing is in the future, (as we strive to pay it off) by which time it has become devalued due to inflation.

Any project began as a service to the community, carries with it the interest charged at the point of borrowing, and the need to repay the owner of the money the amount borrowed to finance it. Both of these factors produce inflation as the banks printing of more money inflate the amount of bills in the economy, or if no project is undertaken the money stock is deflated producing deflation, both of these principles are controlled from the source of the owner of the money or means of exchange.

Without money a project only needs the raw materials to complete the project. Therefore the “cost” would not include profit as a component, and the price is only the sweat and ingenuity of those who worked on the project. With money the cost and the price are reflected in the finished article, which represents the profit to the community or society, and the distributed bills of money or credit to aid exchange or buy raw materials (if needed) are then reduced to zero and cancelled out. Why...because money has no value only labour and the commodity’s do. Therefore we would not be left with a debt in the future, once our work is completed

Society cannot build and expand and be affluent as they do not own the money. (and the even the neo-liberal **Galbraith, *the affluent society*** acknowledged that consumerism and the gap between rich and poor would cause catastrophe, he mistakenly thought other economists who wrote from the position of scarcity

(economics), that this concept was outdated in our modern affluent society, but then society is often only acknowledged to be in the first world and only a few of them.

Yet compared to neo-conservatism his views are mild in comparison. An example of this is the ruling by the house of lords that water dodgers (the 15%) who do not pay for water should have a metre imposed or even face sterner penalties, rather than admitting that employers should increase wages to meet the high water bills or profits should be re-invested back into the company (80% - 100%) or be nationalised. Alas just as water is leaking away in thousands of gallons, so to wages are leaking away in ever increasing and inventive methods of siphoning.

Of course Galbraith was correct (ultimately, as scarcity is a deliberate supply and demand myth/decision) because the world is a very rich place, and currency can accompany this wealth (turned off or on from a faucet, like love, as Billie Holiday used to sing, who nevertheless like Nina Simone had her head, her hands, her feet etc.!).

They have a further cost. i.e. repaying the debt. Interest adds to the cost, and over Trillions the vast amount of extra costs is ridiculous, it does not become a “fee” for distributing money, but a smaller but real fault in inflation, which is unnecessary and only allows inflation to produce fictitious capital and accumulation and therefore ownership of society’s needs and possessions.

Imagine the current world’s money ...it is thousands of Trillions, and any system can produce it, just as the capitalist does, next imagine it without repaying debt and interest charges and hey presto its wealth beyond belief. Not just a world of second rate possessions whilst expensive goods are purchased and upgraded as you progress “upwards” in the class system, or goods which are uniform and all the same, where people exist in a world of grey, miserable dreaming of “going west” to get your hands on the latest fashion fad or computer game.

There is of course nothing wrong with this, as new technology is a benefit to mankind and they are fun, although many games having started as a military application are now training young minds to be mindless, providing a direct military application to them. Yet it has become a god in itself to be worshipped, the desire to own, consumes the personality, and advertising takes over the image of the product. Whether the product is of any use or any good is beside the point, only the image is important, the brand with to inoculate a new generation, starting as young as possible, the child is virtually living in Jim Carey's film the Truman show. In fact it is beyond materialism, which is made into a drug heightened when "the have nots" see "the haves" with everything (television, media and communications generally across continents accelerates this).

And if any politician or political party do not advocate this, **communist or socialist**, then yes they are not interested in mankind, in society or freedom, but are using the philosophy and economic theories of men to bring power into their own hands, and are just one more form of control.

Walmart (as many similar enterprises also do) eliminates competition and all capitalist companies eliminate competition, (but keep the profits) this is a charge also given to communism or socialism, in that it takes away the desire to compete or work hard. Yet if we look at a "primitive" society (who "have nothing"), they work for themselves as there needs need. There projects are decided on their need, the rest is leisure time. Capitalism not only taxes a wage, it indirectly adds new taxes, and with debt and inflation, yet more taxes, so that your leisure time is required to pay these absurdities, whilst simultaneously requiring you to work for a price, which is lower than you would earn if exchanged directly for commodities. You labour longer and this surplus labour is taken to pay the extra costs of the profits the "boss" requires to pay his living, exploiting you.

And to repeat (almost) the paragraph above

“And if any politician or political party or Capitalist advocates this, then yes they are not interested in mankind, in society or freedom, but are using the philosophy and economic theories of men to bring power into their own hands, and are just one more form of control”.

Manifesto (no 2) is also eventually unnecessary in a fairer equalised rich and affluent society, as built infrastructure and debt cancellation as you go or earn (D.C.A.Y.E, .....one for the tax collection agencies to consider). Tax is necessary under the current system to redistribute wealth; it is not in itself necessary in a correctly functioning economic society.

Finally on the abolition of “religion”, this is the same as saying we can abolish thinking or mature philosophising, its impossible, for example is the worship of Marx, Engle’s and Lenin, a religion or a cult or simply admiration for forward thinking comrades. It was a mistake to advocate this as binding people’s imagination only produces rebellion and counter revolution. For instance in China the fulong gong are suppressed, but if everyone woke up in China as ....falun gong(ers) ! , eventually the principles of economics would force them to begin the same path as before, banning it only provides a vehicle or distraction form the real issues. Even if a falun gongers “revolution” succeeded and they set up a class system, eventually the lowest class of falun gong would rebel and overthrow it.

All other beliefs, including fascism and only serve to make a class system or a different view, setting one person against another, to distract from class issues. Nationalism which is not fascist or indeed the façade of false patriotism, is also healthy, what can be done to avoid pride in a culture or many cultures....nothing, otherwise it saps the spirit of the people.

## Stalin said

Voting ? in a democracy, voting in a totalitarian system ? Joseph Stalin said,

“It is not who votes that counts, it's who counts the votes.”

He continued

“The masses just need to know they voted”..... spot the difference.

Or if voting was any good they would ban it (many people quote), what is certain with “electronic” voting, is you could stand a Wardrobe or a Haddock in an election and mysteriously it would win.(and often does)

So can you be a communist and believe in a “religion” or even take pride in your national symbols or history, of course,...you can be anything you like. Can every single communist honestly state (and read conclusion C) that every single inspiration into the manifesto has worked.

In its formation and taking things to new extremes, it recognised that capitalist society had also taken things to extremes, and people had to be shocked out of the unreality, its system has chained the world to.

After Marx had turned the system of slavery upside down, by showing that workers produce everything and really run the world, the manifesto thought it could transform also the inner self, when the reality is hijacking real power permeates every movement that has ever existed. If it has to exist subvert it. Capitalism and democracy of course only = private plutocracy, in which the scraps are thrown down to buy loyalty, and mesmerise those who do not see real wealth.

This realised any movement needs honest, unselfish and determined independent individuals who value people...as a law.

The rich will use any method, any absurdity and any means possible to invoke a need to blame a specific group or individual to distract and devalue a culture or a life.

Is the continued war in Ulster legal, or is it all an elaborate performance over the suspected oil in Rockall.(when Royal Dutch Shell, are looking to drill in both). Ulster is cited as Northern Ireland, just as the “Republic” status of the 26 county Island of Ireland, was once occupied, it is now legally free and (but only autonomously).

Similarly the invasion of Iraq is now seen as illegal, even by soldiers who went or are there. Legally apart from how it feels (i.e. morally wrong) the UN mandate (which is quoted in defence of invading and occupying Iraq), was based on Iraq and Saddam having WMD (which the archives show were sold to him by countries in the UN, especially those who would “go anyway”, with or without the UN).

Of course and subsequently the UN discovered it did not have WMD, and opposed, but did not reverse its own mandate, a point which caused Elizabeth Wilmhurst of the foreign office to resign, as the foreign office had changed its mind on the illegality of the war several times. Further those who feel it is right to have removed Saddam, because of his regime, turn a blind eye to other larger atrocities elsewhere, or support worse, they deny selling Saddam the weapons or supporting his tenure when appointed by them, ...this is what passes for Journalism or a “crusade”, all based on a lie (an economic necessity)

This is obvious to any reasonable mind, but after this the next obstacle is the one of “doing ones duty”, or as Siegfried Sassoon’s acquaintance Wilfred Owen puts it “ The old Lie; Dulce et Decorum est.

Pro patria mori ” taken from his poem of the same name, (the ode taken from Horace,)... it is of course not

and never was sweet. Especially so when your own government is paying for the enlisting, the weapons and the weapons and stability of your opponent (see chapter “conclusion” C), and today

Modern deadly and sophisticated weaponry makes a mockery of the soldier, and the “country” is a corporation who resides anywhere and everywhere.(but not with anyone).

Making debt a worldwide priority was a prerequisite for imperialism.The Orange order invented the modern advanced current debt system (which has blighted the world), but its exact opposite is easily accounted and can be converted to release the world from the unnecessary burden of no economic sense.

In June 2006 Mervyn King, the King of the Bank, announced bumpier times ahead, suggesting interest rates may have to rise. <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/business/5073866.stm>. Adjusting interest rates is all we hear about as a means of control.

Past periods of lax borrowing, (a related similar news item stated) may have to be tempered by a higher cost of borrowing. Of course the lax period or the higher rate period has both produced a profit for the bank, as with the laws of supply and demand (at either 1%, 2% or 4.75% or 6%, or 0% !...etc), less volume = higher interest prices, pushing more credit = less interest and the sales are kept steady (for them).Either way they have a profit.

For you this means either a recession or boom, but in either case you are still in debt, because wages (or any income) will always be kept below the amount of total credit issued. Issued to the consumers, who (rather than starve or live outside in the elements) will choose to keep consuming, consuming themselves into debt.

All regulated by the private issue and control of private currency, charged at interest, underwritten by taxes, so that items do not cost a price, but cost whatever you can be persuaded (not afford) to borrow.(credit cards, mortgages, loans Higher Purchase... “layaway” etc).

Out of this is born the stock exchange, which is not just commodity trade, but currency also, which compresses the price of land into smaller more expensive parcels, whilst (many bodies) combine to give the illusion land is scarce.

**A COMMODITIES PRICE = THE COST, WHILST THE COST = THE PRICE ?. IF PRICE = MONEY, WHAT IS THE VALUE OF MONEY, AND AS COMMODITIES ARE PRICED ABOVE THE AMOUNT OF LABOUR REQUIRED TO MAKE IT, WHAT IS A COMMODITIES REAL COST ?. FURTHER “COST” INFLATION (debt) AND/OR “DEMAND” INFLATION (law of supply & demand) IS CAUSED BY MONEY, BUT MONEY IS ONLY A SYMBOL, DEBT IS ONLY AN ILLUSION WHEN OWNERSHIP OF THE SYMBOL IS PRIVATE, AND INTEREST IS NOT A FEE, BUT AN EXTRA COST INCREASING DEBT AND INFLATION AND THEN THE PRICE ?**

**It is always cited that “full employment” causes inflation !, when of course before universal currency (and everybody working) inflation was an unknown concept. Whether you are a monetarist who believes in full employment or not, the theory is ultimately flawed. (and millions unemployed means wages can be kept low, as the middle classes and bosses use the multitudes plight for themselves, or in third world countries almost non-existent, which is why the “lumpenproletariat” starving and destitute are necessary to Corporations worldwide )**

**It is the drive to keep class alive which gives us monetarism. Even with only two classes (and some society’s have experienced this development) rich and slave, the ridiculous economic theories are maintained. Full employment at least gives the working class power.**

**People of course cannot eat (most) commodities, but neither can the rich**

Capitalism produces commodities primarily to be exchanged, to be sold on the market, not because they have a use value, they have an exchange value but in order to make money i.e., ( M.C.M, money - commodities - money ). As opposed to (CMC, which existed before modern capitalist society, commodities – money – commodities)

Adam Smith and Ricardo were obsessed with finding a law or measurement to predict market prices. Marx rejected this analysis, i.e. how much labour formed the exchange value of commodities, and why commodities under capitalist production was geared towards a market rather than for direct use by people themselves.

Therefore capitalism begins with money, (it already owns land by conquest) as it can be printed out of thin air with nothing of value to back it (commodities or indeed money itself, although usually money can be used as a stake, out of proportion to its return), and M - C - M merely keeps revolving so that money is invested to make commodities in order only to make more money.(and on and on)

As we have seen wages or cost = price, or price = cost, and cannot be defined by labour since, labour is always underpaid. Labour power or the ability to labour as if you were paying yourself is much more than if you were paid by someone else. A company director does not pay himself the same as an employee, yet both work the same hours (and employees work many more unsocialable hours than they are paid, just as a director says his work is never done, especially when, he takes two days to get round the golf course).

In other words when the wage earner sells his labour, but he does not sell his worth. He works 40 hours but is convinced to receive pay for only 20 Hours, he is not paid his surplus labour or labour power.

**And labour-power or surplus labour which produces surplus value (profits) is a commodity.**

**We are all therefore defined by how capitalism acts, by what it is. It is simply a system which accumulates all surplus labour as surplus value, and turns it into more money, commodities or the ownership of the means of production for the few. In the state, which has two souls this can either be owned from above or from below, if from below you can also own the means of production, the money, and the commodities and receive a much higher use value for the amount of hours you work. Socialism has only briefly in history had control from below, the workers, society have only ever had this control from below briefly.(before the rich took it back or subverted it)**

**Companies have announced great rates of profits in 2006, and although the rate of profit is falling so to are workers conditions and pay, squeezed ever more tightly by the need to make these profits for the few.**

**Last but not least is the fault within any system, that of debt, or the product of M-C-M plus interest plus the simple non-ownership of the money supply by the people (government), so that C-M-C can grow without debt (see further conclusion A)**

**Imagine the current world's wealth, redistributed, and not having to pay off debt, instantly the world is changed, the system turned upside down. Of course without debt we are all freer by one very important step. It is not complicated to achieve both in everyday finance and expenditure, and at international level, it cannot be overstated how important this step is in reform.**

It is shown that in chapter 2 that “MO” (cash & coins) could be £1850 million in circulation, this is based on a 100% money (Professor Fisher) ideal based on tax revenues for 2004/5 (see chapter 1). Of course we do not need this to be actual notes and coins, and it can be placed into any and every system in every country in the world.(half of it could be spent and circulated, the other half backing it, as one further alternative)

An idea as simple as altering currency regulation is seen as some as a repeat of countries who have devalued currencies, simply because there are “too many notes” in circulation, which will lead to people having to buy with sacks of notes, thousands of which are required to buy a loaf of bread or petrol etc. This view only It is related displays a lack of understanding as “currency” in notes or computerised credit advance is already in circulation in Britain (and elsewhere) to the tune of £45 billion, as you can see from Chapter 2 page 12, this money in circulation in 1964 was over 20% of the money stock, not 3.5% as it is today. At today’s equivalent this would amount to £250 Billion pounds.(approx).

### Modern example in Zimbabwe, but not Zimbabwe’s fault....

**“Printing paper money to fund government expenditure and onerous debt payments whose value is not linked to productivity and earnings fuels inflation. In the case of Zimbabwe, this had led to hyper-inflation and its insidious side effects. Hyper-inflation decimates savings and earnings; it impoverishes people over a very short period of time, causes economic instability and above all, social and political upheaval.”**

**In fact the IMF (USA) has deliberately led to this problem in its actions.**

**“This is the problem the IMF faces. If it accepts that its inflexible and American influenced position refusing to reschedule Zimbabwe’s IMF debt has forced Zimbabwe to print Zimbabwe dollars in order to fund its debt payments, leading in part to the country’s hyper-inflation, then it must accept that it is partly responsible for the impoverishment of the ordinary Zimbabwean ”**

**see full article** <http://www.newzimbabwe.com/pages/opinion119.13850.html>

**or see the contradictions in cause & reporting** <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/africa/4665854.stm>

**OR** <http://www.politicalaffairs.net/article/view/2685/1/148> .... **which states**

**“The government, which blames unofficial sanctions by western governments for the economic crisis, has responded to the rampant inflation by announcing it will soon introduce a new currency, after "wide-ranging" consultations”.**

**And of course they can give it value if the people can actually run it themselves without western interference, which is Africa’s curse.(see further chapter 6b Fishers stamp scrip)**

**But lets say for example in Britain current “MO” was half the amount, say £25 billion in circulation currently, is the £, or Euro or Dollar, worth any less then, or is it worth any less than when the “MO” was much much more ?, its not backed by gold or anything of value.**

**....no of course not, because the government gives its currency value, and the people are the government.(or should be). Currently central banks are in control of currency issue, and charge it as debt with interest (this is not Marxist teaching), the people are not in control, and this results in either “depression” when the banks restrict credit, or inflation (which in the west is constant, it goes up and down but is never at 0%) when the banks flood the economy with credit.**

If “the people” issued the credit, it could be added as debt and then cancelled or the credit (notes or credit) could be withdrawn and destroyed as the economy progressed. (see Conclusion A page 30) i.e. managed by the people, not “unelected” “undemocratic” Corporation(s).

This provides a very stable framework for the other vital aspects of economic democracy to exist within. People often cite the currency floods that led to the Weimar Republic in the 1930s in Germany, but this flooding of paper was not controlled, and the commodities behind the currency were devalued by the Wall Street crash, which left currency and commodities useless. People starved for want of currency they could rely upon, not paper which international exchanges had (in their view) suddenly become worthless.

This simply shows that currency set against the stockmarket “value” is false, and that our current “currency” is also worthless as it standing alone. If you view chapter 6 page 38 & all chapter 7, (the stock market crash of 1929) you will see why world currency disintegrated and why a Professor at the centre of the world’s economy saw through it producing a classic economic work.

And if anyone doubts the mystery of money, the NHS has also (like other monies suddenly discovered, see first part of the introduction pages 5-7) been granted monies to fund Herceptin

[http://feed.insnews.org/v-cgi/feeds.cgi?feedid=150&story\\_id=1760655](http://feed.insnews.org/v-cgi/feeds.cgi?feedid=150&story_id=1760655)

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/health/4902150.stm>

Previously thought too expensive, but of course only too expensive in a debt economy and too expensive for budgets decided by the Rich based on the current neo-liberal model which in any case conjures up money for anything its private members wish.

Having granted the money for Herceptin, ministers say this money will be taken from elsewhere (causing cuts), they have to say this as if you realised that money is available without cuts elsewhere, you would protest and protest. Money is owned privately as a means of control, and it does not limit itself to the confines of private ownerships wishes.

Introducing competition between NHS agencies's (which should be national) a conservative policy, is of course ridiculous especially in a health service. People looking to the new **compassionate conservatism** in any election need to take a look at the 1980s and 1990s, they simply lined their own pockets, and of course today they have no policies of any worth. They are without doubt worse than New Labour.

**The main objective of government is to deflect attention from finance by saying, the money may run out, or it is nearly run out, or it has completely run out. Except when money suddenly appears (herceptin or health care see also page 6 in the first half of this introduction), it is thought it will come from funds allocated elsewhere, and so on.**

**This type of spin only exists to give the illusion that the politicians of whatever shade have authority, something to regulate towards, to make policy, to run and win power, when always they know the money does not exist, but it is available if a political decision is made to introduce it, not an economic decision.**

**This applies to every area of industry and society.**

**The supply of wages (or any income) always falls below the need to repay credit issued, and credit is issued with this law in mind, as a deliberate decision of accounting,... except when the banks, the establishment, the economists who know but conceal this law choose to re-invent it for themselves...see chapter 2. The world is always in debt**

The object is to have a wealthy well funded society which does not get into debt (less than one year) domestically and bring other economy's into debt internationally = fair trade. And you can apply this principle to any area of industry, services or employment. Remembering also the G8 anti debt meeting in July 2005, because people across the spectrum, politically and spiritually said they will never forget it, but where is the cancellation cry now, which should be total world debt cancellation.

Those who like to connect an ethnic identity to the growing world conspiracy (economically) can enjoy the multi – ethnicity and multicultural identity of world economics by viewing chapter 12.

If anyone doubts the duplicity over “anti-Semitism” (as opposed to actual anti-Semitism, see conclusion C), see the bewildering case of George Galloway and the false Sheikh, following George Galloway's rebuke to US senators over the Iraq war, it seems, he is questioned on whether he is anti-Semitic by a man disguised as a Sheikh, making a mockery of the subject. Yet the same newspaper would ignore 50 million dead in world war two, including millions of Jewish prisoners, Catholics and, Gypsy's, troops from Poland and many other nations not to mention Britain and Ireland, paid for to a large degree by the bank down the road, with copyright on the Queens head. (Conclusion C)

<http://politics.guardian.co.uk/media/story/0,,1743132,00.html>

As strikes escalate domestically, and in Europe (which claims these Islands, in the way Ulster is claimed by the UK, despite Scotland and Wales growing independence) and around the world for various reasons, out of 6 Billion people, if one Billion (or 6 billion) went on strike the façade which is built on nothing of substance, which is empty and corrupt would collapse, and people could take back their liberty, with the added advantage of seeing economically where problems occurred in previous generations, keeping that liberty alive.

Building a participatory new Europe, with it's governing from the outside in, is the aim of those who have woke up. (Others wish to leave, but those "in" do not want Europe to be the scene of war imposed upon it.) Trade Union leaders who do not support action which has won major wealth back to the "people", are of course out of step with the disgust at neo-liberalism and neo-conservatism and their members can replace those who will support the need for equality and higher wages and the worker determining the price of their own labour. <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/europe/4895164.stm>

And as capitalism has made inroads into the Unions, a dangerous situation for democracy,(see chapter conclusion A pages 58 - 60) you can strike with or without them as French students have shown in 2005,(see internet link above) a many strikes are only to appease temporarily the growing unrest at the state of the world. Further Unions can run any business themselves, either as going concerns or take advice <http://www.newint.org/index4.html> (go to "search" and at the bottom tab in black, choose topic index and scroll down to co-operatives, as one solution)

Or see chapter 2 and 12.

This is the same Imperialism which regards other nations as its own, which benefits no one, domestically or in the victim country. Justification for occupation, invasion and suppression takes on ever increasing reasons, which reach ridiculous and desperate proportions, matched only by the level of suffering and poverty people experience. This latter state is better than the torture and mass murder accompanying it, but only if you are killed, as those that are left experience the nightmare of living on under imperialism yoke, without their loved ones.

This is the experience of South America, Cuba (Cuba: people will always prefer the Vanguard to the undemocratic democracy the Capitalist offers, the illusion of votes, whilst opposing Stalinism. It was the

Russian people who defeated Hitler not Stalin, the west simply paying for Hitler) the far East, Africa, India, Japan, China and Vietnam and Europe, often only engaged when forces against are weak or non-existent. And as Cheney rebukes Russia on black mailing neighbours !!, surely Cuba and the whole of Latin America, Europe, Africa and Asia see only the pot calling the kettle black ?

<http://euobserver.com/9/21522>

Its an imperialism which **Mark Curtis 2004 *Unpeople, Britain's Secret Human Rights Abuses* Vintage** cites over 8 million maimed or injured and 13 million dead since world war 2. Whilst people pay for this in their taxes, millions are completely unaware of these facts. A further reason why Republicans in Ulster do not trust the Orange state.(for further information see the Outdoor relief strike in Belfast in 1982, when the Falls road and the Shankill road fought together, and ask why ?)

Because the centres of world capital are Washington/New York, London and Japan, before China eclipses them.

How ? because they are terrorist states, they only survive by invading others directly or covertly and killing people. Its immediate population surrounding it, is kept in the dark of all its exploits by media designed to do just that. It expands into others, because capital needs to do this, it forces change onto others either by force or by investing then withholding capital. In the media there is a natural assumption that they are the world's policemen, bringing the good empire, when the truth is (like Rome and every empire there has been) empires crush knowledge and civilisation, rewriting history of the "barbarians" but taking their inventions and knowledge if it can understand it. The world's policemen in reality create the terror and police the uprising against it...

Why,... well its also not to tell people about Jesus !

The vast majority of inhabitants within these islands and the USA are unaware of this. It is a rapacious, viscous killing machine, and it is disguised and hidden by the media shield which lies, and/or reports but does not manage to show, like a Macdonald's advert, which has the gloss but hides the truth of what's within it.

Media blackout or distortion like this takes billions and billions to maintain, and an unbelievable amount of effort and ingenuity, giving the surreal entertainment news of 24 hour fiction. Often when errors occur (the truth), the surreal confuses people who are programmed. It is perpetuated as it needs consumers in the first world to pay for it (e.g. One example over 950 billion spent on armaments in 2004)

Nearly every country on earth has been involved in espionage of some description involving Nuclear and/or Thermo Nuclear weapons, when we consider their point of origin in the 1940s, (Manchester and New York, not elsewhere) to their new points of dispersal today; it seems nuclear weapons provide only stability nations, or the central banks, and their accumulated assets, within those nations desire.

In the hope that these private instruments will be kept secure (think about it), this is not the only logic behind them, but when we consider that President Kennedy explained in the early 1960s, that an engagement in a nuclear war would not provide victory, but only leave a bitter taste in our mouths, you can focus on the logic and draw your own conclusions, but this is why change worldwide can only come from within.

In Chernobyl 500,000 people who were classed as immediate victims have already died. 34,000 people who took part in the "clean up" some using their bare hands have also died since the accident. 7% of the 33 million Ukrainians have suffered radiation related illnesses, and only 1% of land in Belarus is free from

contamination. 1000 children a year die from thyroid cancer in Belarus. Many accidents in Britain are covered up or hardly reported, including the continued war of pollution it wages on the coast of Ireland. Of course it is likely this will result in an explosion to liberate people from this pollution.

In the first world war and second world war when the imperialists in England went to lengths to cover up its justification for starting these wars, including misleading its own, it is now a visible feature repeated in the Iraq war, in its beginnings and up until today 2006/7. (see conclusions A & C)

Imperialism exists anywhere Capitalism exists, its national debt, and banking bubble expansion means seizing other people's wealth is inevitable. (A feature, which ideologically should be used to distinguish one ideology from the other)

Finally why bother writing anything on what seems a hopeless situation for the majority of the worlds poor, or indeed why do anything at all, for those who live in impossible situations, why, well because when you see peoples pain, what is there to stop you ?

Just as the Palestinians and Israelis continue to oppress each other, the USA now wants to starve the Palestinians out of their democratic choice ! King Ackish once said that David ben Jesse will be his servant forever.

The Orange Order controls the army and police of the English state,... but if it not teaching Christianity or promoting revolution for the "people" protestant or catholic, just what is it doing.?

Concentrating on Ulster a land which with the possible exception of Palestine and Israel it has experienced more than its fair share of nightmares.

In 1995/6 on what was the first of two journeys to Belfast, I was left stranded in Belfast, people I was supposed to meet were stranded in Scotland (via Stranraer) due to the very heavy snows. It was a debate on politics and religion (across the spectrum), which of course had to be cancelled.(but was later reconvened) I had no money or accommodation, and only learnt of the problem in Belfast. Thanks to a kind taxi driver and a Priest, I was hooked up with two men who were attending a meeting (as it turned out, a prayer meeting).After driving for one and a half hours, we arrived at a town called Cookstown. In this meeting were two people, (approximately 18 altogether) one of whom had shot dead (many years before) a member of the others family, the meeting was intense, very sad and painful.

I found accommodation and later the next morning attended a church called St Johns, but had taken the wrong path (after repeated directions) and ended up in the Protestant St Johns,(there was a Catholic one somewhere) but it was friendly and later that night to another St Patrick's.(a Catholic church). This happened sometimes to me when mountaineering in Skye with friends, but noticing the denomination was not a prerogative.(warmth and easy to reach was).

Before catching the train home I had overheard a woman in the Protestant St Johns speak of a meeting, which I had worked out was near the train station, so I went.

One of the speakers was a man (I cannot remember his name) with sharp features, and slicked back hair, debonair and looked like a Jockey. A large amount of woman present in the meeting, suggested it was a woman's club of some description. The man said he was formerly an assassin who had shot at least 6 or 7 Catholics (there were Catholic and Protestant Woman present).However it was probably inappropriate as he could not finish and had to be removed (helped) as he could not finish due to crying. I later learnt he had committed suicide, but this mans pain was not ordinary, not just remorse, it was in the soul, the worst kind, and he was in a battle inside.

Later back home I met a man who had given up selling drugs to adults (who passed them on to children, hard drugs). He was in one of the Protestant paramilitaries.

For his decision he was collected, driven to a waste area, shot 5 times, (I think) twice in the head and then driven over by the same car and left for dead. He said he did die, but came back, crawled out of the dump, recuperated and went to Britain. You could feel at least one of the bullets in his head.

We later went to a meeting where a man from the Caribbean was giving his Christian testimony. A former member of the Scots guards drove us there, (neither he nor the Ulsterman felt comfortable) this Caribbean man preached on his experienced Racism in Britain, which had left him so low he could not bear to live. It was uncomfortable all round. (which was not a feature back home between black and white, but class is a different matter)

The supposed stereotypes became non-existent. Later I was taken to a Messianic (Jewish) Christian church by a former Sufi Muslim from Iran.! (of course “cat Stevens” may point out his own journey, and he can still sing morning has broken)

I met another woman who had been involved in “security” in Ulster of some kind, she was also distraught, a nervous wreck, and also suffering deeply in the soul, it is indescribable what people feel in these situations.

In Connaught whilst fishing I needed weights to cast beyond the reeds, and I saw another fishermen along the bank. I went over and asked him for a loan. As he stretched into the box, his arm had UVF on it, so I asked him if he was from the north, he said he was, but uneasily said he had come down for some peace and quiet, and to get away, but was involved in some workers movement or other. This has a resonance because I had met many people from the north Catholic and Protestant who said they wanted to get away...anywhere.

I have also met counterparts from Ulster who as Republicans had the same experiences and feelings, which had twisted their souls with pain, the same situations, same problems and consequences.

Their history of these troubles is longer and deeper.

Also and for instance a Protestant in the Irish army (Óglaigh Na hÉireann), who used official Óglaigh/ army equipment (jeeps, tools & trucks etc), to rob a bank in Dublin, previous to this he had been assigned with the UN to Jordan and Israel. In Goal which was a torment this UN service was a comfort to him, and he said he never robbed a bank again, but they rob him, Goal free. His poor pay was behind the plot to rob the bank.

When I was aged ten/eleven living in Bangkok, and feeling homesick, I “ran away” in a tuk tuk, (taxi) but unable to pay for anything (plane or train etc) i decided to see the many sights, including the central Buddhist temple in Bangkok, the Royal Palace and the black market where I met a man who sold chains and jewellery.

After my capture and after being grounded by my parents, I told my father about the jewellery stall. He knew how the materials were collected for the business, and he drove me out of the city to this mans family home to buy one. At this time many refugees from the Vietnam War, from Vietnam and Cambodia and Laos were sheltering in hovels. The jewellery stall man who could hardly walk, collected chain mail wire by approaching unexploded bombs and slowly unwrapping it.

These bombs which even dropped on the border of Thailand, and within it from US planes, were then fashioned into chains and crosses, this was the only way he could earn a living.

The above example could be (and often is) cited by the far right as..

“Doesn,t god work in mysterious ways” as a possible justification for the war or any war !

The Vietnam War cost the United States 58, 000 lives and 350, 000 casualties. It also resulted in between One and Two million Vietnamese deaths, the Vietnamese waged an anti-colonial war against France, which received \$2.6 billion in financial support from the United States, the overall cost of both was £250 billion dollars, when if you look at <http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.isaweb.net/downloads/Chapter10c.pdf> or simply go to chapter 10c (on the attached website newnewjerusalem on the front page) page 506, and you will see that if this was God working in a mysterious way he seems to achieve it in other ways, for a £1 or a Euro or a few yen, all without loss of life or invasion.(which is very mysterious)

People who advocate that “ war is Gods hypothesis” of course work in very mysterious (and undemocratic) Way’s themselves, until judgement day (or before...very, very mysterious)

Further at a prayer meeting, it was suggested that we should pray for the Iraq war ? and the troops , but on suggesting that the prayer should be for no war at all, or also for the casualties (overwhelmingly Iraqi, or other in other wars), I was ignored and looked upon as if I was the antichrist, or a heretic of some kind. So I left and did not return.

It would take a large book to record it all, and some people have seen much more, but you would never describe it, you don’t want to see it, it is very dark.

There are countless other stories, and all eventually intertwine.

For these and other reasons, this kind of pain was unfit to exist in a decent society, it had become a sickness, it was barren, a void, nothing....

And wondering why ?, without a lengthy explanation I concluded that the philosophies and theologies imported into Ulster and indeed anywhere, were manmade all to support (on one level, the level of control)

a financial system, a stockmarket, a few pounds of profit. It had taken on the guise of respectability and had wrapped itself around doctrines and lies in order to make (even if many people did not intend) the bitterest bile possible, to keep people in chains, while it laughed at them, supported by an army of Securocrats. This worked both ways, but the control was in London. It is beyond belief, but people have had enough of it.

Whilst people expect politicians to do their worst, it was in disgust that the churches were also participating in this system, not just preaching but administratively also, many just fronts for the security services themselves. Ulster in April 2006 (according to the popular media at least) is in a political void, there are deadlines, ultimatums end games etc, the usual nonsense.

In fact Ulster is free to rid itself of the fear, to let itself go (as in November 2006, joint rule will be imposed).Something indeed has happened in Ulster, and people now can build an alternative Ulster, without the Barbed wire love, without the nonsense, from the ground up.

The reality is that millions of Catholics outnumber Protestants in terms of loss of life, and it is they as Scots/Irish who have a greater claim to compensation and the land, and to freedom.

And as mentioned in the first part of the introduction hundreds of thousands of Protestants have lived without any problems in the Republic, yet as was displayed in the “love Ulster” marches on February 26<sup>th</sup> 2006, the rioting against the Orange Order is a different matter.

Why?, well it is only interested in Imperialism, as **Bakun** discusses the (corporation) order created the forerunner of the modern day Corporation, (the Orange order being one of the first and still controls to this day) and as well as subverting the actual Tabernacle of Moses teachings, which is the basis of the Judeo, and Judeo- Christian tradition, which is also anti-war and anti-debt with a support of the poor and downtrodden at its core ?.

Discussing the architecture of the third Reich in “Jerry built-Unholy relics of the third Reich” Jonathon Meades in a BBC documentary shows that minute detail went into its architectural design to display the purpose of Nazism. Explaining the Jewish holocaust without the Nazis spiritual beliefs, has been a part of the problem of why there is holocaust denial.

These tabernacle teachings which are seemingly held dear are subverted and misrepresented, why ? (see *The Orange Order: A Tradition Betrayed*, by **Rev Brian Kennaway** 2006 who reflects the shock many genuine, but unfamiliar members have) it is contrary to some Marxist views, not a revolutionary body of the people, but only of the Aristocracy.

<http://observer.guardian.co.uk/world/story/0,,1718248,00.html>

It's funding of the Nazi party in world war 2, and its close association with Royal Dutch Shell (which in 1938 - 44) included many known Nazi financial supporters and sympathisers, is once again today trying to extend the Union onto the Republic both from its Dublin influence, and by attempting to impose itself on the Oilfield's of Galway (Connemara) and eventually Rockall.

This it has attempted to do all over the world, but like agent orange in Vietnam it has only led to the very antithesis of the tabernacle of Moses, both politically and Spiritually.

This is why rioters chose to run out the Orangemen in Dublin who according to their own (**Rev Brian Kennaway**), and are questioning the purpose of the order. Nationalist marches do not receive the same freedoms in Ulster.

Yet many within it do not recognise that the denounced rituals are nothing to do with these symbols, which are within the tabernacle. <http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.isaweb.net/> (see chapters 5, 6 & 7), and Malcolmson W.P. 1999 *Behind Closed Doors*. Of course religion and politics are not necessary to co-exist side by side, and needing

or choosing to believe anything you like, is up to the individual. Yet therefore why choose to teach on these symbols and mislead ? Changing their meaning, including the objects in the Tabernacle and their purpose . The Scottish and Irish clan system was set at odds with each other searching for riddles and meanings leading nowhere whilst the real “rebels” the Whigs, usurped not only the poor in politics, but also the true meaning of Judeo – Christian tradition.

Well it is simply to do with protecting: (and to repeat)

Speaking of the Bank of England in 1909

“It is the Guardian of the cash reserves of England and therefore the whole world” **Andreades (1909)**

says professor Andreades, and it therefore controlled the finances of the Colonies, and World trade, and Ireland during the entire famine periods.(see chapter 1).Not only is (and was) imperialism imported over the world, and poverty slowly induced on the slaves, but on top of this “privilege” eternal damnation ensued also.

Of course a bank only begins with banknotes, not capital, yet it eventually owns capital and infrastructure.

Why cannot “the people” do the same then as today.

It is capitalism and slavery made into a respectable religion without Christ or philosophy but discrimination which is the forerunner of our present plutocracy, which claims exactly the opposite, and remember the “war on terror” is a supposedly Christian political concept.

Of course the political fear within different type of politics is (if only in the financial institutions and with the rich) that the disgruntled Communists or Anarchists,(with points of disputed doctrine) who usually stand at the back of the Church on a Sunday, (or a Saturday) may well join in at the front and start singing,

although many might suspect that they are really praising Marx, Lenin, Trotsky or Berkman, and preach against Adam Smith, Neo-Conism and the right wing Capitalists.

Capitalists who have no economic plan of any sense, except to borrow, and make new and ever more inventive debt bubbles, with new packaging, which of course is no moral example, and are no better placed at the front. It extends to both religions Catholic & Protestant.

The reality is no one knows what is in anybody's heart except God (if he exists of course...cough).

Maybe one day in May, in the May Blossoms churches across Ireland can preach on  
Isaiah 41; 17 – 20, 43; 3 – 9 & 55; 1 -2.

If anyone wants further confirmation ask anyone on the list in the website (or their successors/equivalents today) <http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.lsaweb.net/> at **Chapter 10A (and 10B)**, what is within it, it's a free document they received, which as a crusade or quest is also free to discover for yourself.(whether they replied or not).

**Have my permission to receive a copy from them, or ask any of them why the early Celts were so fascinated with the following image, (see below) which is contained within the document, it is entitled Ceilteach...perhaps it could have been titled (An Ceardlann) “the Celtic workshop”.**

For example what is actually the tabernacle of Moses ?, why teach its opposite meaning ? and are disputed Baptisms important, or what if anything occurs before a Baptism?, covering issues that has split the west, and the west and the east, what is its fascination?, what are elements in the church trying to cover up ? !  
what does it say about money and the poor and war and profit ! what is the mystery for the past two thousand years?

Further this war was warned against as far back as 1997/8, we *warned in this same document.*

Without going into lengthy detail (and for some it is a puzzle why the matter is mentioned at all, even though we live in an era where neo=conservatism says God is telling them to rule and inherit the world, the meek can just be blown up.) and since many Architects of the war in Iraq, have introduced a notion that God may have told them to invade (or now... may not have told them), we can take a brief tour through its history.

The early Celts were fascinated by this book, (the little book), or really the history the symbols pointed towards. Its history pointed back to prehistory to scrolls dating from around 1440 BC.(the book of Numbers)

Those who have preached war, but who have not seen it, may also wish now to take a look.



Commonly known as the Book of Kells, (the 4 pictures are distinguished from the similar descriptions, almost identical, given in the book of Daniel, which only encapsulates Empire, war, poverty, famine, death,

destruction and government who oppress, enslave and murder, producing a façade, the antithesis of the tabernacle) it was written jointly between Iona, off the Scottish/Irish coast and Kells in County Meath Ireland.(now housed in Dublin University, where it is on show one page every day)

It reflects part of a lost knowledge, which imperialists unable to understand it tried to wipe out, and it is therefore symptomatic of imperialism then as today.

**(As mentioned the document entitled Cealteach, which shows why the Tabernacle exists and what it is used for, see further chapter 8 pages 27/28. John Ch 13; V 1-20, and Ford Madox Brown reference.**

The true “Grael”, (which has real power, and has been found in Ireland) the most significant aspect which is beyond comprehension, beautiful, free and open to anyone.....but there is a more, an earthly parable, which in numerous epics has led to the romantic search (Christian and other including Atheist)for the mystical, merging the two has led to many difficulties, errors, bizarre tales and misconceptions, but is nevertheless real .

Written or influenced by Colm Cille (Columba or Crimthann,) a monk who was born in 521 A.D. in Donegal, Ireland. He was a direct descendent of King Niall and was also a cousin of the King who ruled northwest Ireland at that time. Its knowledge would have been passed down from the 1<sup>st</sup> century AD. Niall whose seat was at Tara in County Meath and with Clans in Emain Macha or Navan fort in Armagh.

Colum Cille was descended through the O’Naill line of Eremon, and also a nephew of Fergus Mor Mac Erca (the then king of Scotland) and Fergus' brother, the then reigning High-king of Ireland, Muircheartach Mac Erca.

Eremon (from where we get the word Eire, derived or Anglicised as Ireland, when a gender description of people in Eire or Alba/Scotland was/is actually “Scot” in Gaelic.

E.g. An fear/bhean Albanach, (Scottish man/woman in “Irish” Gaelic) is Eireanach me, (Im Irish ) na Gaeil, (The Irish ) Gaidhealtachd, (Scotland) Ban-albannach. (Scotswoman ).

“Eire” in Scots Gaelic is Eire, as septs or Clans knew not nation distinction only tribal areas, the same is repeated in Britain who of course spoke “Welsh”.

The Welsh for Scotland is “Yr Alban” and for Eire have “Iwerddon” or for Gaelic they have Gaeleg !. Albion or Alba in Scots is Albainn (which is how they knew the “Welsh”), and of course the “Irish” knew the Scots as Alba or Alban. Britain being of course Albion.

For the line of Eremon (Colum Cille descended through) see (also Briaton Maol)

<http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.isaweb.net/downloads/Chapter4.pdf> page 171 or 29 in PDF pages list or see

<http://www.thenewnewjerusalem.isaweb.net/> front page

Eire is also called “Inis Fail” which throughout history and since especially 1320 in Abroath has seen a desire to bring back the “stone of scone” to Tara in Meath. Previous to this the Ulster Scoti, who left Ulster joined with the Picts, and were United under Kenneth MacAlpin in 839, he became Ard RI of Alba (Scotland).

Previous to this these “Dal Raida” Ulster Scots lived in Erainn, but Dal Riada had existed as long (whatever its name, in some form) as people crossed over both coasts. The Scottish part of the tribal kingdom of Dal Riada was known as Argyll which means “coastland of the Gaels,” (**Ar tìr**) for by this time the population of Ireland had long been Gaelic-speaking, and the Dal Riada considered themselves to be Gaels in the

general sense, though nonetheless Erainn in the context of ethno-dynastic politics. It envisaged these islands as in a circle, a ring superimposed above it, reflective of the round stone circles they built.

Colum Cille reputedly saw a bright and shining light which overwhelmed him whilst in exile on Iona; although it seems he travelled back to Erainn many times, suggesting that this was a spiritual/political exile not a physical one.

From out of this kingdom (and before it was named as such) of Dal Riada came Eaogon, ó Murchadha, ó Coileáin, ó Súilleabháin, ó Cuilinn, Mac Diarmada, ó Briain, ó Conchobhair, ó Dochartaigh, ó Cinnéide, Mac an Bhaird, ó Conaill, MacArthur's, who are interrelated to the Carthy's, McCarthy's,(in Munster), McCarthy Mor, Mac Airt, (e.g. Cormac Mac Airt) O'Carthy,...and so on. Airt also exists as a first name. Although disputes arise they would at some point have had a common origin. There is a Scotch/Irish Dalriadic saying "From the streams and the meadows comes MacAlpine, but whence comes MacArthur" Aie ! etc, see further, paintings by Burnes Jones.

Or <http://www.azlyrics.com/lyrics/leonardcohen/hallelujah.html> which can be best explained in French, Spanish or Gaelic, although effecting everyone ultimately, its misconceptions, have led to over complicating a very simple human matter, which is nevertheless very significant and interesting.(as the moon and sun are)

### Celtic world map

<http://www.celticgrounds.com/chapters/appendix/map-celticworld.htm>

<http://www.rootsweb.com/~irlkik/ihtm/ire000.htm>

The British (Welsh speaking tribes, descended from their Irish Motherland) have their origin from Briaton Maol (see above weblink and [http://quic.net/~philmeister/Evrybdy/d\\_9.htm](http://quic.net/~philmeister/Evrybdy/d_9.htm) ) who also travelled from Erainn, from his ancestors to Alba, many settling Albion, amongst those who were already the people called the “Scots/Irish” and later (after fleeing the Romans) back to Strathclyde, where they resided as a minority. (Chronicles of the Picts and Scots etc, and further chapter 4).

Although the Scandinavian invaders invaded by secrecy and stealth, particularly in the void the Romans left, their numbers were not great, as populations were not great in this age (see end for populations throughout the ages, and also <http://desip.igc.org/populationmaps.html> ) concentrating on the centres of power, the original inhabitants were left, i.e. the Celts.

The “Lia Fail” or... “Lia Fail’s” should be accumulated and be brought both to Tara and Scone hill, (like the aire “the road and the miles to Dundee”, or the Derry aire it would be worth listening too) and let people admire them, to test them, as some are placed in error, negating the power the area holds.

All over these islands and the wider Celtic world you have to ask how much longer is apologetics used, or ancient conflicts reoccurring causing stagnation, before our culture is reborn, how long can we stand by, whatever our beliefs.

The UN declaration of Human rights, which is in part based on the the Magana Carta in 1215. It was also referred to by Eleanor Roosevelt in the UN s declaration of human rights.(The Magna Carta was scribed in Latin).

Pleading the 5<sup>th</sup> in the Macarthy witch hunts, a part of the US constitution is directly cited as stemming from the Magna Carta.

Yet it was only a reflection of earlier Celtic law which in turn reflected a wider European empire, which was more than just sharing a common language, in that it also had a widely developed social system (including a

social security system and pensioners rights!, and extended over these Islands, France, Spain and a large part of Europe.) <http://www.cs.indiana.edu/statecraft/magna-carta.html>

It was heavily influenced by the The Charter of Liberties of 1100, both required the king to renounce certain rights, respect certain legal procedures and accept that the “will of the king could be bound by law”.

However many argued that this right to bind the king by law, which in effect gave the barons greater power and allowed the judiciary and “common people” to rule independent but adjoining to the king, had in effect only itself stemmed out of the common law of the land since time immorial. Thus the Norman (derived from Norwegian, not French Celts) where kings were in effect only limited by citing previous Saxon law which had existed in the heptarchy for two or three hundred years.

Of course anglo saxon law is often described as coming over from the continent where it was exposed to the Christian law from about the sixth century onwards. This trite explanation overlooks the next two hundred years when in fact the anglo saxon, dane and norwegian did not have any law.

They were the terrorists of their day, driven to desperate means (judging from the many mishapen skulls found in scandinavia at this time due to rickets, a symptom of severe cold and famine....not as Hitler may have thought a lack of intelligence, but eugenicists never really analysed these skulls too closely, or the whole master race theory would have collapsed overnight).

Suddenly in the midst of the invasion (rape and pillage) we are led to believe that a few inspired, decided to write a “law book”...where was the inspiration from...was it the petitioning population (who of course were majority Celts)

Before the Magana Carta in 1215 and the The Charter of Liberties of 1100, was the Saxon king Alfred...Alfred the great circa 880 ad (or Alfred the desperate, id beter do something fast), who wanted to

be a Monk and read and learn.(in the 11 centuries old tradition of the monk and warrior monk, which grew out of the Druids, who many believe spoke Gaelic! ) Yet political necessity forced him to marry a lady from Mercia, Ealswith, the Mercian's were in effect a mixture of mainly Britons (Welsh, meaning foreigner) descended from their "Irish" Fathers and Saxon (located around the midlands and Wales area).Already 400 years before magna carta, laws existed.

Alfred united the nobles against the Danes, and declared that all men should be equal. His laws it seems were only reaffirmed from previous common law, and in many ways resemble the ancient "Irish" laws, most notably the *Liber ex lege Moysi*, ("Book from the Law of Moses"), an Irish work, drew exclusively from the Pentateuch. (see author Paul Fournier) which although a collection of Mosiac laws like Alfred's was itself based on previous common law or Celtic Breton law. Simply a continuance of existing law.

Therefore what was this "Christain" law, Saxon law was based upon. Alfred was a scholar and based his defence against the Danes by forming a triangular formation, as they rushed on in a line like an arrow.. Although the Saxons did not adopt Roman law, it was nevertheless studied by Monks and universitys (it was adopted in Scotland), and the Monks and monastrys had survived since before the 6th centuries when the Celtic christian monks, were learned as (also) Bards, Ovates and Druids, much like today.

They would also have been aware of the Common law, which since 1100 BC (as far back as is known), had been the longest and therefore most influential collection of laws on Albion.Dublin and Meath had the largest colleges (collegeates) and "Europeans" and Britons travelled to them, more than any other

The earlier laws of Arthuir, (circa 500 ad) [http://quic.net/~philmeister/Evrybdy/d\\_9.htm](http://quic.net/~philmeister/Evrybdy/d_9.htm) and the Breton Celtic laws, stretching back to 1000 BC,... (although in a crisis in the post Roman withdrawal world, required a reaffirmation of those laws in 500 ad approx. On both sides of the Dalriada, Strathclyde and Rheged, Kingdom when the “Irish” came over to Scotland, and Scotland extended over and down to the South coast of Albion and into France before the Roman invasion. Yet this kingdom visible or invisible had always existed, but it was not called Briton, but this tribe were a part to it )... which is the substance, the “Common laws” are based upon, and since 80% of the “common” people are Celtic in these Islands, and on hearing spoken Gaelic in Ireland, France, Brittany Scotland, Cornwall, Ulster, Wales, Spain (especially Galicia) and Worldwide, it is like music, it is to them and from them like water our history & culture springs.

[http://www.bbc.co.uk/history/programmes/bloodofthevikings/genetics\\_results\\_01.shtml](http://www.bbc.co.uk/history/programmes/bloodofthevikings/genetics_results_01.shtml)

The façade of “Englishism”, (which in decrying, is not a form of racism, as of course there is only one “race”, and one love, which should be true love ) supported by the façade of democracy, is given theatrics to support the oligarchy over it. Below this are the older origins which have withered away under the pressures of Empire which needed first of all to brainwash its own citizens. An occurrence in all past empires, and most notably now, with the absurd caricature of US patriotism its current administration plies on its citizens.

Of course you could not find a more unpatriotic administration, with no sense of real leadership or decency within them. Capturing what remains or looking for an earlier history, only becomes apparent when music, or folk music is found. (listen to more) <http://www.porridgemen.com/index.php> <http://www.peatbogfaeries.com/music.html>

<http://www.massensemble.com/index2.html> <http://www.celticharper.com/harple.html> [http://www.iona.uk.com/newindex/2004\\_pages/albums\\_2004.htm#](http://www.iona.uk.com/newindex/2004_pages/albums_2004.htm#)  
<http://www.davyspillane.com/> <http://www.efestivals.co.uk/festivals/cambridgefolk/2006/> <http://www.festivaldeortigueira.com/english.php?lang=ing>

etc etc , which has similarities with “folk” music worldwide, and of course incorporates a variety of instruments and inspirations. Earlier than Morris music or many 18<sup>th</sup> century ballads are the medieval tones

and chords which originate from even earlier musical forms, widely European which are definitively Celtic, of course you have to look and listen, but you can find it.

They are the same ancient intricate rhythms and melodies found in modern “pop” or indeed rock’n roll, folk, indie or gospel music, most notably influencing the Beatles, and can of course be applied to any musical form. Whilst of course listening to Bach is also still pleasant, “classical” music is limited in comparison.

Bach incidentally maintained an ability to let people feel what his spiritual beliefs felt like,(to him) as opposed to preaching at people, who do not want a sermon, this is a lost concept in communications.

“Democracy” and it is appealing to many east Europeans, after the restricted capitalist (termed communist dictatorships) dictatorships failed there. Yet those nations have only been attracted to rob them of their capital and their rights right’s and allow Corporations to build oil pipelines to come under western ownership, and nothing has changed, in terms of wealth and ownership to the people (see chapter 8, chapter 10 and conclusion A,B & C).

Caught between Stalin and Hitler in the last century, their best hope is to build up an alternative economic outlook, and look to those who are trying to change the European constitution, and therefore provide a wealthy new Europe, enslaved to no system, but free. Europe is the future and will become the new superpower, free also from its past which was full of racism.

And as proposals by Tony Blair (visiting George Bush in May/June 2006, fresh from the Iraq war zone) for a new streamlined UN (which should be more inclusive Globally) to allow “things” to occur with more speed, or in other words to allow America through the neo-cons to roll out a less democratic dictatorial UN,

and enforce it through our “anti-terrorist” armed forces, who will be convinced to do so in order to “protect” us.

The UN should reflect what they are told by us, from you upwards. John Bolton is there to ensure it does not, and reflects the current war within the UN..

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/americas/5020358.stm>

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/americas/5022126.stm>

Having removed local democracy, and certainly local economic democracy globally any suitable organisation, whether it is direct from central empire as the USA (in the special relationship, see chapter 1) on its own, or in the UN as a partnership globally, dictatorship is their aim.

A good example of this is the bountiful country of Burma, firstly “colonialised” by England, it was then left to a supposedly socialist dictatorship (which was not defended or protected against by the Colonialists), in reality a state capitalist system, which could have released wealth into its infrastructure, but instead restricted it, whilst Capitalist countries wages increased over many decades. In the 1980s, it fought to impose a democratic election into its government, but this democracy was crushed. Again the former colonialists who had already stolen its wealth, did not invade, neither did America, indeed it was left to struggle.

Why ?, well it provides cheap labour and minerals and air space the odd island or two to deport people away from and is a source of future wealth for the “free” and “entrepreneurial” peoples of the free world to exploit, and to invest into <http://pilger.carlton.com/burma> Yet the UN also the ability not to enslave people but to provide multilateral freedoms, which (and for instance) the French student have shown in Europe, can

provide local and multilateral benefits. A new Europe from the outside in. Indeed as the French and Spanish have shown

It will be shown in future years that the ordinary Iraqis have displayed great courage, (and are displaying great courage) against this type of enslavement, and horror, and they are not fooled by the deception of sleepwalking into a system which is designed to offer them everything, but provide only the keys to their own prison. And contrary to many “al Qaeda” news stories it is over 50,000 domestic Iraqis who have instigated the insurgency, like Afghanistan which has been taken over by the vanquished Taliban it is hardly reported. It will be suggested to them that not being slaughtered and being free to buy basic human requirements is a decent life they should be grateful for, and is a real life whilst their wealth is stolen away.

Once again Blair and Bush (or Cameron or....you could replace them with a thousand similar clones who would repeat the same) repeat the lie (see links <http://www.greenleft.org.au/back/2002/506/506p12.htm> & <http://www.sundayherald.com/31710> ) that they removed Saddam, riding in on a white horse, when in fact they formerly supported and armed him. Also Iran [http://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/US-Israel/Iran\\_Contra\\_Affair.html](http://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/US-Israel/Iran_Contra_Affair.html), the proceeds of which went to fund the Contra's who were fighting against the Cuban backed Sandinistas. Yet Israel now does not advocate its nuclear weapons are removed, regardless of where they have come from. And the myth of religion fighting communism (godless), is just that ...a myth, especially when we consider Nehemiah, when the godless were the ...Capitalists.?

The media either describes it as the war with Iraq (1 or 2) or the liberation of Iraq?, when Iraq in either description was defenceless. Iraq has shown the rest of the world what these neo-liberal/neo conservative terrorists are really about, and what is store for the future for everyone worldwide, and why they are resisting.

The troops should be withdrawn completely, and Iraq's oil nationalised to them, if for nothing else the lies and the innocent civilian murders during the invasion and occupation... whilst people watch at home comfortable, pretending it's a just war. (which to date despite claims of a government in Iraq in June 2006, has not been mooted and never will, this despite claims that "peak oil" is a sham philosophy,.. or secretly oil is being stored in secret to maintain it).

The violence and attempted cover ups will continue also, ultimately this war was "rebellion" against people, ordinary people and in that sense is wrong. Tyrannical governments install tyrannical governments, and throwing off repression is right either abroad or domestically.

[http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle\\_east/5096660.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/5096660.stm) [http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle\\_east/5049214.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/5049214.stm)

It is not a Muslim versus Christian crusade, although this aspect was certainly used by Bush in the "bring it on" period of the war, if it was such a crusade, he would have invaded Saudi Arabia, (but since the Saudi hijackers are alive and well to this day ?) but then they have a defence and his business interests are there also. Iraqis have shown the world including many troops who went there to fight them, how freedom and dignity can be recovered, (as indeed many lost theirs there) and have even gained their support. (against the occupation). It is a symptom of "exterminism", when vast amounts of armoured needs to be kept in production, in order to keep capitalism moving, into a self fulfilling war upon war cycle, which of course needs an enemy or a perceived threat, even if there is not one.

Looking at chapter 8 and its video links (downloads), Iraqis have also been accused in error, and America needs to know, its own "commander", attacked them.

No longer important in Blairs/Cameron/Bush/Cheneys "vision" will be the debates on Communism or Capitalism or "democracy" only tyranny from what can only be described as the most soulless collection of barbarians to ever conceive of leadership.

Not one of them will stand and tell us the truth, although in what must be seen as a bizarre moment, (the honest approach) it seems the new home secretary is doing just that in the immigration controversy, i.e. like most modern un-democracies, he has no idea and it's all a mess. Why is it a mess, the west has made it a mess, it's called the class system, across continents as well as domestically. This is why South America wants to be free to own its own wealth.

As this outrage continues and no world reform is visible, what is to prevent armed uprising worldwide in the 6 - 8 Billion ?, when vast amounts of the earth are not uninhabitable but are uninhabited, and new cities can be created, with currency to build it, not privately owned or used as a weapon like any other.

“When the bubbles burst people will turn to real economics not fiction” .

People of course do not need weapons, they are themselves the most powerful weapon, yet against them are weapons which are unimaginable in comparisons to past centuries, issued for the rich against the poor.

People have produced large and stunning victories by mass peaceful demonstration which needs not only to march around but takeover the reigns of government bringing it to a standstill and running it yourself.

Rebellion by the rich continues every day, is this wrong or justifiable ?. (the erroneous just war, which of course effects all religions and nations)

From Chapter 1 in this book to Conclusion A,B & C it is hoped the contributions from many varied sources give new insights into the planet and its massive wealth and resource's, which should be set loose.It will also rectify the land problem and the excuse we call food and water, which of course should not be privately owned.

The front page mentions the poll which was taken and you can study the results in the pertinent chapters. To grasp the contents of this book, take one of the largest corporations (any) with profits of \$10 Billion, (or \$1 Billion) just imagine under the same circumstances, that £2 Billion was shared out to the workers and family's, (say 8 thousand employees), and the rest went to reduce prices and costs for the public, rather than it all going to two or three people, nothing profound or complicated, then imagine those same people living without debt which as it is "owed to ourselves" it can be cancelled in totality worldwide, its as simple as that.

A very vital element in returning wealth back to the people is the Trade Union movement worldwide which seems to be waking up after its recent neo-liberal, neo-conservative hypnotism, which informs we live in a democracy, where a few parties with the same policies vote to "run past the post". Legally this system is a fraud and should be banned as a fraud It is clear that a new TUC or their equivalents are needed in every country to build up a labour movement.

A type of independence comes from not only the workers having massive amounts of power, but then not owning the means of production, when management and owners do not have any power, but still retain the means of production, this leads to degeneration as it did in 1972-1974 and after. Workers have to take power and run their own lives, not just by trade union leaders, but workers also in tandem.

The alternative is worldwide revolution and armed resistance, which is growing worldwide, as are strikes (and 6 billion striking is a day to change the world, made easier if the worlds currency is owned by the people and "democratised" !, in a world where 60% are the "proletariat" ) simply because seeing through the dross that is daily politics, news and media, and money reform being so simple, it is apparent that reform is also very simple economically.

“Democratic” illusions are only raising the loathing the poor, and those who thought they were wealthy feel.

Democracies make war on each other, look at Palestine Gaza and Israel, both of which accuse the other of not being a democracy, and Iraq the catalyst for world war 3, (for 6 – 8 Billion people) will democracy prevent it.? (or will war finally be at an end ?)

The contents of these books of course will not come as any surprise to many, but the few, who are judged on their wealth and greed, need judge only themselves, or bring revolution on themselves.

**When liberty is taken away by force it can be restored by force. When it is relinquished voluntarily by default it can never be recovered.**

**(Dorothy Thompson)**

**None are so hopelessly enslaved as those who falsely believe they are free**

**(Goethe)**

Or like Doris, Or Sean or Angus or Tara, who are still working out how to improve their work (and/or even you) in chapter 3, who only believe in one solution, economic democracy is a revolution, and you can decide what to buy or what to own, when and how it should be produced and dictate the price of your own commodities and labour, define your own cleaner, healthier environment, both inside and outside your home or land all without debt.

Apply it to the WTO.... make trade even fairer.

Firstly you need to take that power back from those who have abused it, and are abusing it, this means no compromise and no let up until power is taken from them back to people who earn the wealth.

You will find that then and with a new arena of economics you are not excluded from, you will really own the “State”, and this can be applied to any and every country in the world.

Making the state from the ground up, of course those who see the state as a barrier to “the people” and hide behinds its institutions whilst appearing outwardly democratic will also oppose any new idea or movement. Democracy is not transparent, people cannot see what is going on. Deciding not to pay for war is of course (unlike Iraq) also a real democratic decision, (a money bill, see chapter 1) which in referendum such committees could hold, (regulating not dictating, but owning the money, the real wealth) whilst Parliament legislates on the universal decision, after direct participation by everyone.

As it is the law.

[http://visibleearth.nasa.gov/view\\_rec.php?id=116](http://visibleearth.nasa.gov/view_rec.php?id=116) (Current world populations)

<http://desip.igc.org/populationmaps.html> (Historical world populations)

<http://www.amazon.co.uk/exec/obidos/ASIN/1845961587/026-2427572-2411606>

**Cahill Kevin (2005) “Who Owns the World: The Hidden Facts Behind Landownership” Natl Book Network**

See further

<http://www.tenbyten.org/>

<http://www.cadtm.org/> ....for the crushing reality of world debt, which also looks at many **alternatives to modern economics and debt finance.**

and see further

<http://www.soundvision.com/Info/poor/statistics.asp>

[http://devdata.worldbank.org/sdmx/jedh/jedh\\_home.html](http://devdata.worldbank.org/sdmx/jedh/jedh_home.html)

<http://www.worldgameofeconomics.com/>

<http://www.imaging-famine.org/>

<http://earth.google.com/>

<http://www.bnf.fr/>